

VMware

Exam Questions 2V0-13.24

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architect



NEW QUESTION 1

An architect is documenting the design for a new VMware Cloud Foundation solution. Which statement would be an example of a conceptual model for this solution?

- A. A detailed description of the VMware Cloud Foundation solution configuration, including host names and IP addresses
- B. A detailed diagram of the interfaces of the NSX Edge components within the management domain in the data center
- C. A high-level diagram of the VMware Cloud Foundation solution showing the workload domains with the number of physical hosts per cluster
- D. A high-level overview of the solution, including risks, assumptions, and constraints

Answer: C

Explanation:

In the context of VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF) 5.2, a conceptual model is a high-level representation of the solution that outlines its key components, structure, and purpose without delving into granular implementation details. It serves as an initial blueprint to communicate the overall design to stakeholders, focusing on the "what" rather than the "how." According to VMware's architectural design methodology, as detailed in the official VMware Cloud Foundation documentation, the conceptual model is distinguished from logical and physical models by its abstraction level.

Option A: A detailed description of the VMware Cloud Foundation solution configuration, including host names and IP addresses This option describes a physical model or implementation-specific details rather than a conceptual one. Including host names and IP addresses implies a focus on the specific configuration and deployment specifics, which are part of the physical design phase. A conceptual model does not include such low-level details, so this option is incorrect.

Option B: A detailed diagram of the interfaces of the NSX Edge components within the management domain in the data center This option represents a logical model rather than a conceptual one. A detailed diagram of NSX Edge interfaces focuses on the specific networking components and their interconnections within the management domain, which is a step beyond the high-level abstraction of a conceptual model. Logical models provide more specificity about how components interact, making this option incorrect for a conceptual model.

Option C: A high-level diagram of the VMware Cloud Foundation solution showing the workload domains with the number of physical hosts per cluster This is the correct answer. A high-level diagram showing workload domains and the number of physical hosts per cluster aligns with the definition of a conceptual model in VMware Cloud Foundation. It provides an abstract view of the solution's structure—highlighting key elements like workload domains and clusters—without diving into implementation specifics like IP addresses or detailed component configurations. This type of diagram effectively communicates the overall architecture, making it an ideal example of a conceptual model. Option D: A high-level overview of the solution, including risks, assumptions, and constraints While this option is high-level and abstract, it leans more toward a design justification or requirements document rather than a conceptual model. Risks, assumptions, and constraints are typically part of the architectural decision-making process and documentation (e.g., in a Design and Decisions section), not the conceptual model itself. A conceptual model focuses on the structure and components of the solution, not the surrounding context, making this option incorrect.

In VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2, the architecture follows a layered approach: conceptual, logical, and physical designs. The conceptual model is the first step, providing a bird's-eye view of the solution, such as the relationship between management and workload domains and the distribution of clusters. Option C fits this description perfectly by illustrating the workload domains and host counts at a high level.

References:

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architecture and Deployment Guide (Section: Design Methodology)

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Planning and Preparation Guide (Section: Architectural Overview)

VMware Validated Design Documentation (Conceptual Design Principles, applicable to VCF 5.2)

NEW QUESTION 2

A customer defined a requirement for the newly deployed SDDC infrastructure which will host one of the applications responsible for video streaming. Application will run as part of a VI Workload Domain with dedicated NSX instance and virtual machines. Required network throughput was defined as 250 Gb/s. Additionally, the application should provide the lowest possible latency. Which design decision should be recommended by an architect for the NSX Edge deployment?

- A. Deploy 2 NSX Edges using NSX console and add to Edge cluster created in SDDC Manager.
- B. Deploy 4 extra large edges using vCenter Server console.
- C. Deploy NSX bare-metal Edges and create Edge Cluster using NSX console.
- D. Deploy 2 large NSX Edges using SDDC Manager.

Answer: C

Explanation:

Reference: NSX-T 3.2 Reference Design Guide, Edge Node Performance; VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Networking Guide, NSX Edge Deployment Options.

NEW QUESTION 3

The following storage design decisions were made:

DD01: A storage policy that supports failure of a single fault domain being the server rack. DD02: Each host will have two vSAN OSA disk groups, each with four 4TB Samsung SSD capacity drives.

DD03: Each host will have two vSAN OSA disk groups, each with a single 300GB Intel NVMe cache drive.

DD04: Disk drives capable of encryption at rest. DD05: Dual 10Gb or higher storage network adapters.

Which two design decisions would an architect include in the physical design? (Choose two.)

- A. DD01
- B. DD02
- C. DD03
- D. DD04
- E. DD05

Answer: BC

Explanation:

In VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF) 5.2, the physical design specifies tangible hardware and infrastructure choices, while logical design includes policies and configurations. The question focuses on vSAN Original Storage Architecture (OSA) in a VCF environment. Let's classify each decision:

Option A: DD01 - A storage policy that supports failure of a single fault domain being the server rack

This is a logical design decision. Storage policies (e.g., vSAN FTT=1 with rack awareness) define data placement and fault tolerance, configured in software, not hardware. It's not part of the physical design.

Option B: DD02 - Each host will have two vSAN OSA disk groups, each with four 4TB

Samsung SSD capacity drives

This is correct. This specifies physical hardware—two disk groups per host with four 4TB SSDs each (capacity tier). In vSAN OSA, capacity drives are physical

components, making this a physical design decision for VCF hosts.

Option C: DD03 - Each host will have two vSAN OSA disk groups, each with a single 300GB Intel NVMe cache drive

This is correct. This details the cache tier—two disk groups per host with one 300GB NVMe drive each. Cache drives are physical hardware in vSAN OSA, directly part of the physical design for performance and capacity sizing.

Option D: DD04 - Disk drives capable of encryption at rest

This is a hardware capability but not strictly a physical design decision in isolation. Encryption at rest (e.g., SEDs) is enabled via vSAN configuration and policy, blending physical (drive type) and logical(encryption enablement) aspects. In VCF, it's typically a requirement or constraint, not a standalone physical choice, making it less definitive here. Option E: DD05 - Dual 10Gb or higher storage network adapters

This is a physical design decision (network adapters are hardware), but in VCF 5.2, storage traffic (vSAN) typically uses the same NICs as other traffic (e.g., management, vMotion) on a converged network. While valid, DD02 and DD03 are more specific to the storage subsystem's physical layout, taking precedence in this context.

Conclusion:The two design decisions for the physical design are DD02 (B) and DD03 (C). They specify the vSAN OSA disk group configuration—capacity and cache drives—directly shaping the physical infrastructure of the VCF hosts.

References:

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architecture and Deployment Guide (Section: vSAN OSA Design)

VMware vSAN 7.0U3 Planning and Deployment Guide (integrated in VCF 5.2): Physical Design Considerations

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Planning and Preparation Guide (Section: Storage Hardware)

NEW QUESTION 4

An architect is preparing a VI Workload Domain design with a dedicated NSX instance. The workload domain is planned to grow up to 300 ESXi hosts within the next six months. Which is the minimum NSX Manager form factor that should be recommended by the architect for this VI Workload Domain to support the forecasted growth?

- A. Large
- B. Medium
- C. Extra Small
- D. Small

Answer: A

Explanation:

Reference: NSX-T 3.2 Reference Design Guide (VCF 5.2 compatible), Section on NSX Manager Sizing; VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Deployment Guide, Workload Domain Sizing.

NEW QUESTION 5

As part of a VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF) design, an architect is responsible for planning for the migration of existing workloads using HCX to a new VCF environment. Which two prerequisites would the architect require to complete the objective? (Choose two.)

- A. Extended IP spaces for all moving workloads.
- B. DRS enabled within the VCF instance.
- C. Service accounts for the applicable appliances.
- D. NSX Federation implemented between the VCF instances.
- E. Active Directory configured as an authentication source.

Answer: CE

Explanation:

VMware HCX (Hybrid Cloud Extension) is a key workload migration tool in VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF) 5.2, enabling seamless movement of VMs between on-premises environments and VCF instances (or between VCF instances). To plan an HCX-based migration, the architect must ensure prerequisites are met for deployment, connectivity, and operation. Let's evaluate each option:

Option A: Extended IP spaces for all moving workloads This is incorrect. HCX supports migrations with or without extending IP spaces. Features like HCX vMotion and Bulk Migration allow VMs to retain their IP addresses (Layer 2 extension via Network Extension), while HCX Mobility Optimized Networking (MON) can adapt IPs if needed. Extended IP space is a design choice, not a prerequisite, making this option unnecessary for completing the objective.

Option B: DRS enabled within the VCF instance This is incorrect. VMware Distributed Resource Scheduler (DRS) optimizes VM placement and load balancing within a cluster but is not required for HCX migrations. HCX operates independently of DRS, handling VM mobility across environments (e.g., from a source vSphere to a VCF destination). While DRS might enhance resource management post-migration, it's not a prerequisite for HCX functionality.

Option C: Service accounts for the applicable appliances This is correct. HCX requires service accounts with appropriate permissions to interact with source and destination environments (e.g., vCenter Server, NSX). In VCF 5.2, HCX appliances (e.g., HCX Manager, Interconnect, WAN Optimizer) need credentials to authenticate and perform operations like VM discovery, migration, and network extension. The architect must ensure these accounts are configured with sufficient privileges (e.g., read/write access in vCenter), making this a critical prerequisite.

Option D: NSX Federation implemented between the VCF instances This is incorrect. NSX Federation is a multi-site networking construct for unified policy management across NSX deployments, but it's not required for HCX migrations. HCX leverages its own Network Extension service to stretch Layer 2 networks between sites, independent of NSX Federation. While NSX is part of VCF, Federation is an advanced feature unrelated to HCX's core migration capabilities.

Option E: Active Directory configured as an authentication source This is correct. In VCF 5.2, HCX integrates with the VCF identity management framework, which typically uses Active Directory (AD) via vSphere SSO for authentication. Configuring AD as an authentication source ensures that HCX administrators can log in using centralized

credentials, aligning with VCF's security model. This is a prerequisite for managing HCX appliances and executing migrations securely.

Conclusion:The two prerequisites required for HCX migration in VCF 5.2 are service accounts for the applicable appliances (Option C) to enable HCX operations and Active Directory configured as an authentication source (Option E) for secure access management. These align with HCX deployment and integration requirements in the VCF ecosystem.

References:

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architecture and Deployment Guide (Section: HCX Integration)

VMware HCX User Guide (VCF 5.2 compatible): Prerequisites and Configuration VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Planning and Preparation Guide (Section: Identity and Access Management)

NEW QUESTION 6

During a design discussion, the VMware Cloud Foundation Architect was presented with a requirement to reduce power utilization across all workload domains including management. The architect has suggested to use vSphere Distributed Power Management (DPM) to satisfy this requirement. Which recommendation should the architect provide?

- A. vSphere DPM for Management Workload Domain (excluding when vSAN is a principal storage).
- B. vSphere DPM for VI Workload Domains (excluding when vSAN is a principal storage).
- C. vSphere DPM for Management Workload Domain (only when hosted within a Hyperscaler Data Center).
- D. vSphere DPM for VI Workload Domains (any principal storage).
- E. vSphere DPM for Management Workload Domain (any principal storage).

Answer: B

Explanation:

Reference: VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Administration Guide, Power Management; VMware vSphere 7.0 Resource Management Guide, DPM Considerations.

NEW QUESTION 7

An architect is working on higher-scale NSX Grouping and security design requirements for Management and VI Workload Domains in VMware Cloud Foundation. Which NSX Manager appliance size will be considered for use?

- A. Extra Large
- B. Large
- C. Medium
- D. Small

Answer: B

Explanation:

In VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF) 5.2, NSX Manager appliances manage networking and security (e.g., grouping, policies, firewalls) for Management and VI Workload Domains. The appliance size—Small, Medium, Large, Extra Large—determines its capacity to handle scale, such as the number of hosts, VMs, and security objects. The phrase “higher scale” implies a larger-than-minimum deployment. Let’s evaluate:

NSX Manager Appliance Sizes (VCF 5.2 with NSX-T 3.2):

Small: 4 vCPUs, 16 GB RAM, 300 GB disk. Supports up to 16 hosts, basic deployments (e.g., lab environments).

Medium: 6 vCPUs, 24 GB RAM, 300 GB disk. Supports up to 64 hosts, suitable for small to medium production environments.

Large: 12 vCPUs, 48 GB RAM, 300 GB disk. Supports up to 512 hosts, 10,000 VMs, and complex security policies—standard for production VCF.

Extra Large: 24 vCPUs, 64 GB RAM, 300 GB disk. Supports over 512 hosts, massive scale (e.g., service providers, multi-VCF instances).

VCF Context:

Management Domain: Minimum 4 hosts, often 6-7 for HA, with NSX for overlay networking.

VI Workload Domains: Variable host counts, but “higher scale” suggests multiple domains or significant workload growth.

Security Design: Grouping and policies (e.g., distributed firewall rules, tags) increase NSX Manager load, especially at scale.

Evaluation:

Small: Insufficient for production VCF, limited to 16 hosts. Unsuitable for a Management Domain (4-7 hosts) plus VI Workload Domains.

Medium: Adequate for small VCF deployments (up to 64 hosts), but “higher scale” implies more hosts or complex security, exceeding its capacity.

Large: The default and recommended size for VCF 5.2 production environments. It supports up to 512 hosts, thousands of VMs, and extensive security policies, fitting a Management Domain and multiple VI Workload Domains with “higher scale” needs.

Extra Large: Overkill unless managing hundreds of hosts or multiple VCF instances, which isn’t indicated here.

Conclusion: The Large NSX Manager appliance size (Option B) is appropriate for a higher-scale NSX design in VCF 5.2. It balances capacity and performance for Management and VI Workload Domains with advanced security requirements, aligning with VMware’s standard recommendation.

References:

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architecture and Deployment Guide (Section: NSX Manager Sizing)

NSX-T 3.2 Installation Guide (integrated in VCF 5.2): Appliance Size Specifications VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Planning and Preparation Guide (Section: Security Design)

NEW QUESTION 8

An administrator is documenting the design for a new VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF) solution. During discovery workshops with the customer, the following information was shared with the architect:

All users and administrators of the solution will need to be authenticated using accounts in the corporate directory service.

The solution will need to be deployed across two geographically separate locations and run in an Active/Standby configuration where supported.

The management applications deployed as part of the solution will need to be recovered to the standby location in the event of a disaster.

All management applications will need to be deployed into a management tooling zone of the network, which is separated from the corporate network zone by multiple firewalls.

The corporate directory service is deployed in the corporate zone.

There is an internal organization policy that requires each application instance (management or end user) to detail the ports that access is required on through the firewall separately.

Firewall rule requests are processed manually one application instance at a time and typically take a minimum of 8 weeks to complete.

The customer also informed the architect that the new solution needs to be deployed and ready to start the organization’s acceptance into service process within 3 months, as it is a dependency in the deployment of a business-critical application. When considering the design for the Cloud Automation and Operations products within the VCF solution, which three design decisions should the architect include based on this information? (Choose three.)

- A. The Cloud Automation and Operations products will be reconfigured to integrate with the Identity Broker solution instance at the standby site in case of a Disaster Recovery incident.
- B. The Identity Broker solution will be deployed at both the primary and standby site.
- C. The Identity Broker solution will be connected with the corporate directory service for user authentication.
- D. The Identity Broker solution will be deployed at the primary site and failed over to the standby site in case of a disaster.
- E. The Cloud Automation and Operations products will be integrated with a single instance of an Identity Broker solution at the primary site.
- F. The Cloud Automation and Operations products will be integrated directly with the corporate directory service.

Answer: BCE

Explanation:

In VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF) 5.2, Cloud Automation (e.g., Aria Automation) and Operations (e.g., Aria Operations) products rely on identity management for authentication. The customer’s requirements—corporate directory authentication, Active/Standby across two sites, disaster recovery (DR), network zoning, slow firewall processes, and a 3-month deployment timeline—shape the design decisions. The architect must ensure authentication works efficiently across sites while meeting the timeline and DR

needs. Let’s evaluate:

Key Constraints and Context:

Authentication: All users/administrators use the corporate directory (e.g., Active Directory in the corporate zone).

Deployment: Active/Standby across two sites, with management apps in a separate tooling zone behind firewalls.

DR: Management apps must recover to the standby site.

Firewall Delays: 8-week minimum per rule, but deployment must occur within 12 weeks (3 months).

Identity Broker: In VCF, VMware Workspace ONE Access (or similar) acts as an identity broker, bridging VCF components with external directories (e.g., AD via LDAP/S). Evaluation of Options:

Option A: The Cloud Automation and Operations products will be reconfigured to integrate with the Identity Broker solution instance at the standby site in case of a Disaster Recovery incident

This implies a single Identity Broker at the primary site, with reconfiguration to a standby instance post-DR. Reconfiguring products (e.g., updating SSO endpoints) during DR adds complexity and downtime, contradicting the Active/Standby goal of seamless failover. It's feasible but not optimal given the need for continuous operation and the 3-month timeline.

Option B: The Identity Broker solution will be deployed at both the primary and standby site

This is correct. Deploying Workspace ONE Access (or equivalent) at both sites supports Active/Standby by ensuring authentication availability at the primary site and immediate usability at the standby site post-DR. It aligns with VCF's multi-site HA capabilities and avoids reconfiguration delays, addressing the DR requirement efficiently within the timeline.

Option C: The Identity Broker solution will be connected with the corporate directory service for user authentication

This is correct. The requirement states all users/administrators authenticate via the corporate directory (in the corporate zone). An Identity Broker (e.g., Workspace ONE Access) connects to AD via LDAP/S, acting as a proxy between the management tooling zone and corporate zone. This satisfies the authentication need and simplifies firewall rules (one broker-to-AD connection vs. multiple app connections), critical given the 8-week delay.

Option D: The Identity Broker solution will be deployed at the primary site and failed over to the standby site in case of a disaster

This suggests a single Identity Broker with DR failover. While possible (e.g., via vSphere Replication), it risks authentication downtime during failover, conflicting with Active/Standby continuity. The 8-week firewall rule delay for the standby site's broker connection post-DR also jeopardizes the 3-month timeline and DR readiness, making this less viable than dual-site deployment (B).

Option E: The Cloud Automation and Operations products will be integrated with a single instance of an Identity Broker solution at the primary site

This is correct. Integrating Aria products with one Identity Broker instance at the primary site during initial deployment simplifies setup and meets the 3-month timeline. It leverages the broker deployed at the primary site (part of B) for authentication, minimizing firewall rules (one broker vs. multiple apps). Pairing this with a standby instance (B) ensures DR readiness without immediate complexity.

Option F: The Cloud Automation and Operations products will be integrated directly with the corporate directory service

This is incorrect. Direct integration requires each product (e.g., Aria Automation, Operations) to connect to AD across the firewall, necessitating multiple rule requests. With an 8-week minimum per rule and several products, this exceeds the 3-month timeline. It also complicates DR, as each app would need re-pointing to a standby AD, violating efficiency and zoning policies.

Conclusion:

The three design decisions are:

B: Identity Broker at both sites ensures Active/Standby and DR readiness.

C: Connecting the broker to the corporate directory fulfills the authentication requirement and simplifies firewall rules.

E: Integrating products with a primary-site broker meets the 3-month deployment goal while leveraging B and C for DR. This trio balances timeline, security, and DR needs in VCF 5.2. References:

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architecture and Deployment Guide (Section: Identity and Access Management)

VMware Aria Automation 8.10 Documentation (integrated in VCF 5.2): Authentication Design

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Planning and Preparation Guide (Section: Multi-Site and DR Considerations)

NEW QUESTION 9

An Architect is designing a VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF)-based private cloud solution for a customer. During the requirements gathering workshop, the customer stated the following:

- All users must only have access to the solution components to fulfill their defined role.
- All administrative users must be authenticated to a separate approved identity source for administrator accounts only.
- All service users must be authenticated to the central approved identity source.
- All service account passwords must be stored centrally in an approved secrets management platform.

When creating the design, how should the Architect classify all the stated requirements?

- A. Security
- B. Manageability
- C. Recoverability
- D. Availability

Answer: A

Explanation:

Reference: VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architect Study Guide, Chapter 3: Design Qualities, Section on Security Requirements; VMware Validated Design 6.2 (applicable to 5.2), Security Architecture.

NEW QUESTION 10

As part of a new VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF) deployment, a customer is planning to implement vSphere IaaS control plane. What component could be installed and enabled to implement the solution?

- A. Aria Automation
- B. NSX Edge networking
- C. Storage DRS
- D. Aria Operations

Answer: A

Explanation:

Reference: VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architect Study Guide, Chapter 6: Automation and Orchestration; VMware Aria Automation 8.10 Product Documentation, vSphere IaaS Integration.

NEW QUESTION 10

An architect is sizing the workloads that will run in a new VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF) Management Domain. The customer has a requirement to use Aria Operations to provide effective monitoring of the new VCF solution. What is the minimum Aria Operations Analytics node size requirement when Aria Suite Lifecycle is in VCF-aware mode?

- A. Small

- B. Extra Large
- C. Medium
- D. Large

Answer: C

Explanation:

VMware Aria Operations (formerly vRealize Operations) integrates with VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 to monitor the Management Domain, including SDDC Manager, vCenter, NSX, and ESXi hosts. When deployed via VMware Aria Suite Lifecycle in VCF-aware mode, Aria Operations nodes must be sized to handle the monitoring workload effectively. The node size (Small, Medium, Large, Extra Large) determines resource capacity (CPU, memory, disk) and the number of objects (e.g., VMs, hosts) it can monitor. Let's determine the minimum requirement:

Aria Operations Node Sizing in VCF 5.2:

Small: 4 vCPUs, 16 GB RAM, monitors up to 1,500 objects or 150 hosts. Suitable for small environments.

Medium: 8 vCPUs, 32 GB RAM, monitors up to 6,000 objects or 600 hosts. Suitable for medium to large environments.

Large: 16 vCPUs, 64 GB RAM, monitors up to 15,000 objects or 1,500 hosts. For large-scale deployments.

Extra Large: 24 vCPUs, 128 GB RAM, monitors over 15,000 objects or 1,500 hosts. For very large or dense environments.

VCF Management Domain Context:

The Management Domain in VCF 5.2 typically includes:

4-7 ESXi hosts (minimum 4 for HA, often 6-7 for resilience).

Management VMs (e.g., SDDC Manager, vCenter, NSX Managers, Aria Suite components).

Typically, fewer than 50-100 objects (VMs, hosts, networks) in a standard deployment. Aria Suite Lifecycle in VCF-aware mode deploys Aria Operations to monitor this domain, integrating with SDDC Manager for automated discovery and configuration.

Evaluation:

Small: Can monitor up to 150 hosts or 1,500 objects. For a Management Domain with ~7

hosts and <100 objects, this is sufficient capacity-wise but not the recommended minimum in VCF-aware mode due to integration overhead and future growth.

Medium: Supports up to 600 hosts or 6,000 objects. This size is recommended as the minimum for VCF deployments because it accommodates the Management Domain's complexity (e.g., NSX, vSAN metrics) and allows headroom for additional monitoring (e.g., future Workload Domains).

Large/Extra Large: Overkill for a single Management Domain, designed for multi-domain or large-scale environments.

VMware Guidance:

The VMware Aria Operations documentation and VCF integration guides specify that in VCF-aware mode (via Aria Suite Lifecycle), the Medium node size is the minimum recommended for effective monitoring of a Management Domain. This ensures performance for real-time analytics, dashboards, and integration with SDDC Manager, even if the initial object count is low. The Small size, while technically feasible for tiny setups, is not advised due to potential limitations in handling VCF-specific metrics and scalability.

Conclusion: The minimum Aria Operations Analytics node size requirement when Aria Suite Lifecycle is in VCF-aware mode is Medium (Option C). This balances resource needs with effective monitoring for the VCF 5.2 Management Domain.

References:

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architecture and Deployment Guide (Section: Aria Operations Integration)

VMware Aria Operations 8.10 Sizing Guidelines (integrated in VCF 5.2): Node Size Recommendations

VMware Aria Suite Lifecycle 8.10 Documentation (VCF-aware mode requirements)

NEW QUESTION 13

An architect is responsible for designing a new VMware Cloud Foundation environment and has identified the following requirements provided by the customer:

REQ01: The database server must support a minimum of 15,000 transactions per second. REQ02: The design must satisfy PCI-DSS compliance.

REQ03: The storage network must have a minimum latency of 10 milliseconds prior to path failover.

REQ04: The Production environment must be deployed into the primary data center. REQ05: The platform must be capable of running 1500 virtual machines across both data centers.

What are the two functional requirements? (Choose two.)

- A. The design must satisfy PCI-DSS compliance.
- B. The database server must support a minimum of 15,000 transactions per second.
- C. The storage network must have a minimum latency of 10 milliseconds prior to path failover.
- D. The Production environment must be deployed into the primary data center.
- E. The platform must be capable of running 1500 virtual machines across both data centers.

Answer: BE

Explanation:

In VMware's design methodology (aligned with VCF 5.2), requirements are classified as functional (what the system must do) or non-functional (how the system must perform or constraints it must meet). Functional requirements describe specific capabilities or behaviors, while non-functional requirements cover quality attributes, constraints, or compliance. Let's categorize each:

Option A: The design must satisfy PCI-DSS compliance. PCI-DSS (Payment Card Industry Data Security Standard) compliance is a non-functional requirement. It defines security and operational standards (e.g., encryption, access control) rather than a specific system function. The VCF 5.2 Architectural Guide treats compliance as a constraint or quality attribute, not a functional capability.

Option B: The database server must support a minimum of 15,000 transactions per second. This is a functional requirement. It specifies a measurable capability—the database server's ability to process 15,000 transactions per second—directly tied to workload performance. The VCF 5.2 Design Guide classifies such performance metrics as functional, as they dictate what the system must achieve.

Option C: The storage network must have a minimum latency of 10 milliseconds prior to path failover. This is a non-functional requirement. It defines a quality attribute (latency) and a performance threshold for the storage network, not a specific function. VMware documentation categorizes latency and failover characteristics as non-functional, focusing on how the system operates.

Option D: The Production environment must be deployed into the primary data center. This is a non-functional requirement or constraint. It specifies a location or deployment condition rather than a system capability. The VCF 5.2 Architectural Guide treats deployment location as a design constraint, not a functional behavior.

Option E: The platform must be capable of running 1500 virtual machines across both data centers. This is a functional requirement. It defines a specific capability—the platform's capacity to support 1500 VMs across two data centers—quantifying what the system must do. VMware's design methodology includes such capacity requirements as functional, per the VCF 5.2 Design Guide.

Conclusion:

B: A functional requirement specifying database transaction capacity.

E: A functional requirement defining VM hosting capability. These two focus on what the system must deliver, distinguishing them from non-functional constraints or qualities. References:

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architectural Guide (docs.vmware.com): Section on Requirements Classification.

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Design Guide (docs.vmware.com): Functional vs. Non-Functional Requirements.

NEW QUESTION 17

An architect is working with an organization on the creation of a new Private Cloud Platform. The organization has provided the following business objectives they wish to achieve with the new platform:

- Reduce the operating costs associated with running separate areas of hosting capacity and separate/duplicate systems.
- Reduce the risks, time, and effort associated with managing platforms that are out of vendor support.
- Reduce the operating costs associated with Public Cloud usage.
- Reduce the risks associated with having incomplete documentation for application inventory and dependency mappings.

They have grouped these business objectives into a set of use cases:

- Migration - Provide a platform that supports the migration of virtualized workloads from existing platforms.
- Containerization - Provide a platform that supports the deployment of containerized workloads.
- Centralization and Consolidation - Provide a central private cloud platform accessible to all relevant areas of the business.

When considering these objectives and use cases, what should the architect include in the design documentation as a part of the Conceptual Model?

- An assumption that the new platform will co-exist with the existing platforms for a period of time to allow workloads to be migrated in a phased approach
- A risk that the existing platforms are running Linux Operating Systems that are out of vendor support
- An assumption that a complete mapping of application dependencies is not available
- A requirement that the solution will provide the capability to migrate Kubernetes-based workloads from the Public Cloud

Answer: A

Explanation:

Reference:VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architect Study Guide, Chapter 1: Conceptual Design; VMware Migration Planning Guide for VCF.

NEW QUESTION 21

A VMware Cloud Foundation multi-AZ (Availability Zone) design mandates that: All availability zones must operate independently of each other. The availability SLA must adhere to no less than 99.9%.

What would be the three design decisions that would help satisfy those requirements? (Choose three.)

- Configure array-based replication between the selected AZ(s) for the management domain
- Make sure all configuration backups are replicated between the selected AZ(s)
- Make sure the recovery VLAN for the infrastructure management components has access to both AZ(s)
- Choose two distant AZ(s) and consider each AZ the DR for the other
- Choose two close proximity AZ(s) and configure a stretched management workload domain
- Configure a non-routable separate recovery VLAN for the infrastructure management components within each AZ

Answer: ABF

Explanation:

This scenario involves a VCF multi-AZ design where AZs must operate independently (no shared dependencies) and achieve a 99.9% availability SLA (allowing ~8.76 hours of downtime annually). The design decisions must ensure resilience, fault isolation, and recovery capabilities across AZs.

Requirement Analysis:

Independent AZ operation:Each AZ must function standalone, with no single point of failure or dependency across AZs.

* 99.9% availability:The design must minimize downtime through redundancy, replication, and recovery mechanisms.

Option Analysis:

* A. Configure array-based replication between the selected AZ(s) for the management domain:Array-based replication (e.g., vSphere Replication or SAN replication) for the management domain (vCenter, NSX Manager, SDDC Manager) ensures that critical management VMs are duplicated across AZs. If one AZ fails, the other can take over with minimal downtime, supporting independent operation and high availability. The VCF 5.2 Design Guide recommends replication for multi-AZ deployments to meet SLAs, as it provides a recovery point objective (RPO) near zero. This option enhances availability and is correct.

* B. Make sure all configuration backups are replicated between the selected AZ(s): Replicating configuration backups (e.g., SDDC Manager backups, NSX configurations) ensures that each AZ has access to recovery data. If an AZ's management components fail, the other AZ can restore operations independently using its local backup copy. This supports the independence requirement and reduces downtime (contributing to 99.9% SLA) by enabling quick recovery. The VCF Administration Guide emphasizes backup replication for multi-AZ resilience, making this option correct.

* C. Make sure the recovery VLAN for the infrastructure management components has access to both AZ(s):A recovery VLAN spanning both AZs implies a shared network dependency. If this VLAN fails (e.g., due to a network outage), both AZs could be impacted, violating the independence requirement. Multi-AZ designs in VCF favor isolated networks per AZ to avoid cross-AZ single points of failure. The VCF Design Guide advises against shared VLANs for critical components in independent AZ setups. This option undermines the requirements and is incorrect.

* D. Choose two distant AZ(s) and consider each AZ the DR for the other:Distant AZs (e.g., separate data centers) with mutual DR (disaster recovery) roles enhance geographic fault tolerance. However, ??operate independently?? in VCF typically means each AZ can run workloads standalone, not that one is a passive DR site. Distant AZs introduce latency, complicating synchronous replication needed for 99.9% availability, and may rely on shared management, conflicting with independence. The VCF Multi-AZ Guide focuses on active- active AZs, not DR-centric designs, making this less suitable.

* E. Choose two close proximity AZ(s) and configure a stretched management workload domain:A stretched management domain (e.g., using vSAN stretched cluster) spans AZs with synchronous replication, ensuring high availability. However, this creates a dependency: both AZs share the same vCenter and management stack, so a failure (e.g., vCenter outage) could affect both, violating independence. The VCF 5.2 Design Guide notes stretched clusters are for single logical domains, not independent AZs. This option contradicts the requirement and is incorrect.

* F. Configure a non-routable separate recovery VLAN for the infrastructure management components within each AZ:A non-routable, AZ-specific recovery VLAN isolates management recovery traffic (e.g., for vMotion, backups) within each AZ. This ensures that each AZ's management components operate independently, with no cross-AZ network reliance. If one AZ's network fails, the other remains unaffected, supporting the SLA through fault isolation. The VCF Multi-AZ Design Guide recommends separate, isolated networks per AZ for resilience, making this option correct.

Conclusion:The three design decisions areConfigure array-based replication between the selected AZ(s) for the management domain (A),Make sure all configuration backups are replicated between the selected AZ(s) (B), andConfigure a non-routable separate recovery VLAN for the infrastructure management components within each AZ (F). These ensure independent operation and meet the 99.9% SLA through replication and isolation.

References:

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Design Guide (Section: Multi-AZ Design)

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Administration Guide (Section: Backup and Recovery) VMware Cloud Foundation Multi-AZ Deployment Guide (Section: Networking)

VMware vSphere 8.0 Update 3 Documentation (Section: vSAN Stretched Clusters)

NEW QUESTION 25

During the requirements capture workshop, the customer expressed a plan to use Aria Operations Continuous Availability to satisfy the availability requirements for a monitoring solution. They will validate the feature by deploying a Proof of Concept (POC) into an existing low-capacity lab environment. What is the minimum Aria Operations analytics node size the architect can propose for the POC design?

- A. Small
- B. Medium
- C. Extra Small
- D. Large

Answer: A

Explanation:

The customer plans to use Aria Operations Continuous Availability (CA), a feature in VMware Aria Operations (formerly vRealize Operations) introduced in version 8.x and supported in VCF 5.2, to ensure monitoring solution availability. Continuous Availability separates analytics nodes into fault domains (e.g., primary and secondary sites) for high availability, validated here via a POC in a low-capacity lab. The architect must propose the minimum node size that supports CA in this context. Let's analyze:

Aria Operations Node Sizes: Per the VMware Aria Operations Sizing Guidelines, analytics nodes come in four sizes:

Extra Small: 2 vCPUs, 8 GB RAM (limited to lightweight deployments, no CA support).

Small: 4 vCPUs, 16 GB RAM (entry-level production size).

Medium: 8 vCPUs, 32 GB RAM.

Large: 16 vCPUs, 64 GB RAM.

Continuous Availability Requirements: CA requires at least two analytics nodes (one per fault domain) configured in a split-site topology, with a witness node for quorum. The VMware Aria Operations Administration Guide specifies that CA is supported starting with the Small node size due to resource demands for data replication and failover (e.g., memory for metrics, CPU for processing). Extra Small nodes are restricted to basic standalone or lightweight deployments and lack the capacity for CA's HA features.

POC in Low-Capacity Lab: A low-capacity lab implies limited resources, but the POC must still validate CA functionality. The VCF 5.2 Architectural Guide notes that Small nodes are the minimum for production-like features like CA, balancing resource use with capability. For a POC, two Small nodes (plus a witness) fit a low-capacity environment while meeting

CA requirements, unlike Extra Small, which isn't supported.

Option A: Small nodes (4 vCPUs, 16 GB RAM) are the minimum size for CA, supporting the POC's goal of validating availability in a lab. This aligns with VMware's sizing recommendations.

Option B: Medium nodes (8 vCPUs, 32 GB RAM) exceed the minimum, suitable for larger deployments but unnecessary for a low-capacity POC.

Option C: Extra Small nodes (2 vCPUs, 8 GB RAM) don't support CA, as confirmed by the Aria Operations Sizing Guidelines, due to insufficient resources for replication and failover, making them invalid here.

Option D: Large nodes (16 vCPUs, 64 GB RAM) are overkill for a low-capacity POC, designed for high-scale environments.

Conclusion: The minimum Aria Operations analytics node size for the POC is Small (A), enabling Continuous Availability in a low-capacity lab while meeting the customer's validation goal.

References:

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architectural Guide (docs.vmware.com): Aria Operations Integration and HA Features.

VMware Aria Operations Administration Guide (docs.vmware.com): Continuous Availability Configuration and Requirements.

VMware Aria Operations Sizing Guidelines (docs.vmware.com): Node Size Specifications.

NEW QUESTION 27

An architect is working on a leaf-spine design requirement for NSX Federation in VMware Cloud Foundation. Which recommendation should the architect document?

- A. Use a physical network that is configured for EIGRP routing adjacency.
- B. Layer 3 device that supports OSPF.
- C. Ensure that the latency between VMware Cloud Foundation instances that are connected in an NSX Federation is less than 1500 ms.
- D. Jumbo frames on the components of the physical network between the VMware Cloud Foundation instances.

Answer: D

Explanation:

NSX Federation in VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF) 5.2 extends networking and security across multiple VCF instances (e.g., across data centers) using a leaf-spine underlay network. The architect must recommend a physical network design that supports this. Let's evaluate:

Option A: Use a physical network that is configured for EIGRP routing adjacency

Enhanced Interior Gateway Routing Protocol (EIGRP) is a Cisco-proprietary routing protocol. NSX Federation requires a Layer 3 underlay with dynamic routing (e.g., BGP, OSPF), but EIGRP isn't a VMware-recommended standard for NSX leaf-spine designs. BGP is preferred for its scalability and interoperability in NSX-T 3.2 (used in VCF 5.2). This option is not optimal.

Option B: Layer 3 device that supports OSPF

Open Shortest Path First (OSPF) is a supported routing protocol for NSX underlays, alongside BGP. A Layer 3 device with OSPF could work in a leaf-spine topology, but VMware documentation emphasizes BGP as the primary choice for NSX Federation due to its robustness in multi-site scenarios. OSPF is valid but not the strongest recommendation for Federation-specific designs.

Option C: Ensure that the latency between VMware Cloud Foundation instances that are connected in an NSX Federation is less than 1500 ms

NSX Federation requires low latency between sites for control plane consistency (Global Manager to Local Managers). The maximum supported latency is 150 ms (not 1500 ms), per VMware specs. 1500 ms (1.5 seconds) is far too high and would disrupt Federation operations, making this incorrect.

Option D: Jumbo frames on the components of the physical network between the VMware Cloud Foundation instances

This is correct. NSX Federation relies on NSX-T overlay traffic (Geneve encapsulation) across sites, which benefits from jumbo frames (MTU 9000) to reduce fragmentation and improve performance. In a leaf-spine design, enabling jumbo frames on all physical network components (switches, routers) between VCF instances ensures efficient transport of tunneled traffic (e.g., for stretched networks). VMware strongly recommends this for NSX underlays, making it the best recommendation.

Conclusion: The architect should document D: Jumbo frames on the components of the physical network between the VMware Cloud Foundation instances. This aligns with VCF 5.2 and NSX Federation's leaf-spine design requirements for optimal performance and scalability.

References:

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architecture and Deployment Guide (Section: NSX Federation Networking)

NSX-T 3.2 Reference Design (integrated in VCF 5.2): Leaf-Spine Underlay Requirements VMware NSX-T 3.2 Installation Guide: Jumbo Frame Recommendations

NEW QUESTION 30

When sizing a VMware Cloud Foundation VI Workload Domain, which three factors should be considered when calculating usable compute capacity? (Choose three.)

- A. NSX
- B. vSphere HA
- C. vSAN
- D. NIOC

- E. Storage DRS
- F. Core Dumps

Answer: BCD

Explanation:

When sizing a VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF) VI Workload Domain, calculating usable compute capacity involves determining the resources available for workloads after accounting for overheads and system-level requirements. In VCF 5.2, a VI Workload Domain integrates vSphere, vSAN, and NSX, and certain factors directly impact the compute capacity available to virtual machines. Based on the official VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 documentation, the three key factors to consider are vSphere HA, vSAN, and NIOC.

NEW QUESTION 32

During a requirement gathering workshop, various Business and Technical requirements were collected from the customer. Which requirement would be categorized as a Business Requirement?

- A. The application should be compatible with Windows, macOS, and Linux operating systems.
- B. Decrease processing time for service requests by 30%.
- C. The system should support 10,000 concurrent users.
- D. Data should be encrypted using AES-256 encryption.

Answer: B

Explanation:

Business requirements in VCF articulate organizational objectives that the solution must enable, often focusing on efficiency, cost, or service improvements rather than specific technical implementations. Option B, "Decrease processing time for service requests by 30%," is a business requirement as it targets an operational efficiency goal that benefits the customer's service delivery, measurable from a business perspective rather than dictating how the system achieves it. Options A, C, and D—specifying OS compatibility, user capacity, and encryption standards—are technical requirements, as they detail system capabilities or security mechanisms that architects must implement within VCF components like vSphere or NSX. The distinction hinges on intent: B focuses on outcome (speed), while others define system properties.

Reference: VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architect Study Guide, Chapter 2: Requirements Classification, Section on Business vs. Technical Requirements.

NEW QUESTION 33

An architect is designing a VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF)-based Private Cloud solution. During the requirements gathering workshop with the customer stakeholders, the following information was noted:

In the event of a site-level disaster, the solution must enable all production workloads to be restarted in the secondary site.

In the event of a host failure, workloads must be restarted in priority order.

When creating the design documentation, which design quality should be used to classify the stated requirements?

- A. Availability
- B. Manageability
- C. Performance
- D. Recoverability

Answer: D

Explanation:

VMware's design methodology (per VCF 5.2) uses design qualities to categorize requirements based on their focus. The qualities include Availability, Manageability, Performance, Recoverability, and Security. Let's classify the two requirements:

Requirement 1: In the event of a site-level disaster, the solution must enable all production workloads to be restarted in the secondary site. This describes the ability to recover workloads after a site failure, focusing on restoring operations in a secondary location. The VCF 5.2 Architectural Guide aligns this with Recoverability, which covers disaster recovery (DR) and the restoration of services post-failure.

Requirement 2: In the event of a host failure, workloads must be restarted in priority order. This involves restarting workloads after a host failure (e.g., via vSphere HA) with prioritization, emphasizing recovery processes. While HA is often linked to Availability, the focus here on "restarting in priority order" shifts it to Recoverability, as it addresses how the system recovers from a failure, per VMware's design quality definitions.

Option A: Availability. Availability ensures system uptime and fault tolerance (e.g., HA preventing downtime). While host failure recovery involves HA, the emphasis on "restarting" and site-level DR points more to Recoverability than ongoing availability. Option B: Manageability. Manageability focuses on ease of administration (e.g., monitoring, automation). Neither requirement relates to operational management but rather to failure recovery processes.

Option C: Performance. Performance addresses speed and efficiency (e.g., latency, throughput). These requirements don't specify performance metrics, focusing instead on recovery capabilities.

Option D: Recoverability. Recoverability ensures the system can restore services after failures, encompassing both site-level DR (secondary site restart) and host-level recovery (prioritized restarts). The VCF 5.2 Design Guide classifies DR and failover recovery under Recoverability, making it the best fit.

Conclusion: Both requirements align with Recoverability, as they focus on restoring workloads after failures (site-level and host-level), per VMware's design quality framework.

References:

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architectural Guide (docs.vmware.com): Design Qualities and Recoverability Section.

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Design Guide (docs.vmware.com): Classifying Requirements by Design Quality.

NEW QUESTION 35

During the requirements gathering workshop for a new VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF)-based Private Cloud solution, the customer states that the solution must:

- Provide sufficient capacity to migrate and run their existing workloads.
- Provide sufficient initial capacity to support a forecasted resource growth of 30% over the next 3 years.

When creating the design document, under which design quality should the architect classify these stated requirements?

- A. Availability
- B. Performance
- C. Recoverability
- D. Manageability

Answer: B

Explanation:

Reference:VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architect Study Guide, Chapter 3: Design Qualities, Performance Section.

NEW QUESTION 39

An architect has been asked to recommend a solution for a mission-critical application running on a single virtual machine to ensure consistent performance. The virtual machine operates within a vSphere cluster of four ESXi hosts, sharing resources with other production virtual machines. There is no additional capacity available. What should the architect recommend?

- A. Use CPU and memory reservations for the mission-critical virtual machine.
- B. Use CPU and memory limits for the mission-critical virtual machine.
- C. Create a new vSphere Cluster and migrate the mission-critical virtual machine to it.
- D. Add additional ESXi hosts to the current cluster.

Answer: A

Explanation:

In VMware vSphere, ensuring consistent performance for a mission-critical virtual machine (VM) in a resource-constrained environment requires guaranteeing that the VM receives the necessary CPU and memory resources, even when the cluster is under contention. The scenario specifies that the VM operates in a four-host vSphere cluster with no additional capacity available, meaning options that require adding resources (like D) or creating a new cluster (like C) are not feasible without additional hardware, which isn't an option here.

Option A: Use CPU and memory reservationsReservations in vSphere guarantee a minimum amount of CPU and memory resources for a VM, ensuring that these resources are always available, even during contention. For a mission-critical application, this is the most effective way to ensure consistent performance because it prevents other VMs from consuming resources allocated to this VM. According to theVMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architectural Guide, reservations are recommended for workloads requiring predictable performance, especially in environments where resource contention is a risk (e.g., 90% utilization scenarios). This aligns with VMware's best practices for mission-critical workloads.

Option B: Use CPU and memory limitsLimits cap the maximum CPU and memory a VM can use, which could starve the mission-critical VM of resources when it needs to scale up to meet demand. This would degrade performance rather than ensure consistency, making it an unsuitable choice. ThevSphere Resource Management Guide(part of VMware's documentation suite) advises against using limits for performance-critical VMs unless the goal is to restrict resource usage, not guarantee it.

Option C: Create a new vSphere Cluster and migrate the mission-critical virtual machine to itCreating a new cluster implies additional hardware or reallocation of existing hosts, but the question states there is no additional capacity. Without available resources, this option is impractical in the given scenario.

Option D: Add additional ESXi hosts to the current clusterWhile adding hosts would increase capacity and potentially reduce contention, the lack of additional capacity rules this out as a viable recommendation without violating the scenario constraints.

Thus,A is the best recommendation as it leverages vSphere's resource management capabilities to ensure consistent performance without requiring additional hardware. References:

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architectural Guide(docs.vmware.com): Section on Resource Management for Workload Domains.

vSphere Resource Management Guide(docs.vmware.com): Chapter on Configuring Reservations, Limits, and Shares.

NEW QUESTION 44

A company will be expanding their existing VCF environment for a new application. The existing VCF environment currently has a management domain and two separate VI workload domains with different hardware profiles. The new application has the following requirements:

- The application will use significantly more memory than current workloads today.
- The application will have a limited number of licenses to run on hosts.
- Additional VCF and hardware costs have been approved for the application.
- The application will contain confidential customer information that requires isolation from other workloads.

What design recommendation should the administrator document?

- A. Deploy a new consolidated VCF instance and deploy the new application into it.
- B. A new Workload domain with hardware supporting the memory requirements of the new application should be implemented.
- C. Enough identical hardware for the management domain should be ordered to accommodate the new application requirements and a new workload domain should be designed for the application.
- D. Purchase enough matching hardware to accommodate the new application's memory requirements and expand an existing cluster to accommodate the new applicatio
- E. Use host affinity rules to manage the new licensing.

Answer: B

Explanation:

Reference:VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architecture and Deployment Guide, Workload Domain Design; VMware vSphere 7.0 Documentation, DRS Affinity Rules.

NEW QUESTION 45

A VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF) platform has been commissioned, and lines of business are requesting approved virtual machine applications via the platform's integrated automation portal. The platform was built following all provided company security guidelines and has been assessed against Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (SOX) regulations. The platform has the following characteristics:

One Management Domain with a single cluster, supporting all management services with all network traffic handled by a single Distributed Virtual Switch (DVS).

A dedicated VI Workload Domain with a single cluster for all line of business applications. A dedicated VI Workload Domain with a single cluster for Virtual Desktop Infrastructure (VDI).

Aria Operations is being used to monitor all clusters.

VI Workload Domains are using a shared NSX instance.

An application owner has asked for approval to install a new service that must be protected as per the Payment Card Industry (PCI) Data Security Standard, which is going to be verified by a third-party organization. To support the new service, which additional non- functional requirement should be added to the design?

- A. The VCF platform and all PCI application virtual machines must be monitored using the Aria Operations Compliance Pack for Payment Card Industry.
- B. The VCF platform and all PCI application virtual machines must be assessed for SOX compliance.
- C. The VCF platform and all PCI application virtual machine network traffic must be routed via NSX.
- D. The VCF platform and all PCI application virtual machines must be assessed againstPayment Card Industry Data Security Standard (PCI DSS) compliance.

Answer: A

Explanation:

In VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF) 5.2, non-functional requirements define how the system operates (e.g., security, performance), not what it does. The new service must comply with PCI DSS, a standard for protecting cardholder data, and the design must reflect this. The platform is already SOX-compliant, and the question seeks an additional non-functional requirement to support PCI compliance. Let's evaluate:

Option A: The VCF platform and all PCI application virtual machines must be monitored using the Aria Operations Compliance Pack for Payment Card Industry. This is correct. PCI DSS requires continuous monitoring and auditing (e.g., Requirement 10). The Aria Operations Compliance Pack for PCI provides pre-configured dashboards, alerts, and reports tailored to PCI DSS, ensuring the VCF platform and PCI VMs meet these standards. This is a non-functional requirement (monitoring quality), leverages existing Aria Operations, and directly supports the new service's compliance needs, making it the best addition.

Option B: The VCF platform and all PCI application virtual machines must be assessed for SOX compliance

This is incorrect. The platform is already SOX-compliant, as stated. SOX (financial reporting) and PCI DSS (cardholder data) are distinct standards. Reassessing for SOX doesn't address the new service's PCI requirement and adds no value to the design for this purpose.

Option C: The VCF platform and all PCI application virtual machine network traffic must be routed via NSX

This is incorrect as a new requirement. The VI Workload Domains already use a shared NSX instance, implying NSX handles network traffic (e.g., overlay, security policies). PCI DSS requires network segmentation (Requirement 1), which NSX already supports. Adding this as a "new" requirement is redundant since it's an existing characteristic, not an additional need.

Option D: The VCF platform and all PCI application virtual machines must be assessed against Payment Card Industry Data Security Standard (PCI DSS) compliance

This is a strong contender but incorrect as a non-functional requirement. Assessing against PCI DSS is a process or action, not a quality of the system's operation. Non-functional requirements specify ongoing attributes (e.g., "must be secure," "must be monitored"), not one-time assessments. While PCI compliance is the goal, this option is more a project mandate than a design quality.

Conclusion: The additional non-functional requirement to support the new PCI-compliant service is A: monitoring via the Aria Operations Compliance Pack for PCI. This ensures ongoing compliance with PCI DSS monitoring requirements, integrates with the existing VCF design, and qualifies as a non-functional attribute in VCF 5.2.

References:

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architecture and Deployment Guide (Section: Aria Operations Compliance Packs)

VMware Aria Operations 8.10 Documentation (integrated in VCF 5.2): PCI Compliance Pack

PCI DSS 3.2.1 (Requirements 1, 10: Network Segmentation and Monitoring)

NEW QUESTION 48

An architect had gathered the following requirements and constraints for a VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF) deployment.

Requirements:

- User interface (UI) SSL certificates must have a maximum validity of 6 months.
- Have the least possible administrative time to install and renew certificates.
- Each certificate must be created on a per VCF component basis. Constraints:
- Limited administrative skillsets on SSL certificate administration
- Limited operational expenditure budget for SSL certificates

Which design decision should be made to satisfy the stated requirement(s) and constraint(s)?

- A. Use wildcard certificates
- B. Use and configure integration with a certificate vendor such as DigiCert
- C. Disable the use of SSL certificates for user interfaces
- D. Use and configure integration with Microsoft Certificate Authority (CA)

Answer: D

Explanation:

Reference: VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Administration Guide, Section on Certificate Management with Microsoft CA; VMware Validated Design 6.2, Certificate Authority Integration.

NEW QUESTION 52

An architect is collaborating with a client to design a VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF) solution required for a highly secure infrastructure project that must remain isolated from all other virtual infrastructures. The client has already acquired six high-density vSAN-ready nodes, and there is no budget to add additional nodes throughout the expected lifespan of this project. Assuming capacity is appropriately sized, which VCF architecture model and topology should the architect suggest?

- A. Single Instance - Multiple Availability Zone Standard architecture model
- B. Single Instance Consolidated architecture model
- C. Single Instance - Single Availability Zone Standard architecture model
- D. Multiple Instance - Single Availability Zone Standard architecture model

Answer: C

Explanation:

VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF) 5.2 offers various architecture models (Consolidated, Standard) and topologies (Single/Multiple Instance, Single/Multiple Availability Zones) to meet different requirements. The client's needs—high security, isolation, six vSAN-ready nodes, and no additional budget—guide the architect's choice. Let's evaluate each option:

Option A: Single Instance - Multiple Availability Zone Standard architecture model This model uses a single VCF instance with separate Management and VI Workload Domains across multiple availability zones (AZs) for resilience. It requires at least four nodes per AZ (minimum for vSAN HA), meaning six nodes are insufficient for two AZs (eight nodes minimum). It also increases complexity and doesn't inherently enhance isolation from other infrastructures. This option is impractical given the node constraint.

Option B: Single Instance Consolidated architecture model

The Consolidated model runs management and workload components on a single cluster (minimum four nodes, up to eight typically). With six nodes, this is feasible and capacity-efficient, but it compromises isolation because management and user workloads share the same infrastructure. For a "highly secure" and "isolated" project, mixing workloads increases the attack surface and risks compliance, making this less suitable despite fitting the node count.

Option C: Single Instance - Single Availability Zone Standard architecture model This is the correct answer. The Standard model separates management (minimum four nodes) and VI Workload Domains (minimum three nodes, but often four for HA) within a single VCF instance and AZ. With six nodes, the architect can allocate four to the Management Domain and two to a VI Workload Domain (or adjust based on capacity). A single AZ fits the budget constraint (no extra nodes), and isolation is achieved by dedicating the VCF instance to this project, separate from other infrastructures. The high-density vSAN nodes support both domains, and security is enhanced by logical separation of management and workloads, aligning with VCF 5.2 best practices for secure deployments.

Option D: Multiple Instance - Single Availability Zone Standard architecture model Multiple VCF instances (e.g., one for management, one for workloads) in a single AZ require separate node pools, each with a minimum of four nodes for vSAN. Six nodes cannot support two instances (eight nodes minimum), making this option unfeasible given the budget and hardware constraints.

Conclusion: The Single Instance - Single Availability Zone Standard architecture model (Option C) is the best fit. It uses six nodes efficiently (e.g., four for Management, two for Workload), ensures isolation by dedicating the instance to the project, and meets security needs through logical separation, all within the budget limitation.
 References:
 VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architecture and Deployment Guide (Section: Architecture Models and Topologies)
 VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Planning and Preparation Guide (Section: Sizing and Isolation Considerations)

NEW QUESTION 56

Which Operating System (OS) is not supported by Aria Operations for OS and Application Monitoring?

- A. Windows Server 2012 R2
- B. CentOS
- C. Windows Server 2012
- D. MacOS

Answer: D

Explanation:

Reference: VMware Aria Operations 8.10 Product Documentation, Supported Operating Systems for Monitoring; VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Release Notes.

NEW QUESTION 58

A VMware Cloud Foundation multi-AZ (Availability Zone) design mandates that:

- All management components are centralized.
- The availability SLA must adhere to no less than 99.99%.

What would be the two design decisions that would help satisfy those requirements? (Choose two.)

- A. Choose two distant AZs and configure distinct management workload domains.
- B. Configure a stretched L2 VLAN for the infrastructure management components between the AZs.
- C. Configure a separate VLAN for the infrastructure management components within each AZ.
- D. Configure VMware Live Recovery between the selected AZs.
- E. Choose two close proximity AZs and configure a stretched management workload domain.

Answer: BE

Explanation:

Reference: VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Multi-AZ Deployment Guide, Section on Stretched Management Domains; VMware Validated Design for VCF 5.2, Availability Zone Configurations.

NEW QUESTION 61

A VMware Cloud Foundation multi-AZ (Availability Zone) design requires that: All management components remain centralized.

The availability SLA must be no less than 99.99%.

Which two design decisions would help meet these requirements? (Choose two.)

- A. Implement a stretched L2 VLAN for the infrastructure management components between the AZs.
- B. Select two distant AZs and configure separate management workload domains.
- C. Implement VMware Live Recovery between the selected AZs.
- D. Implement separate VLANs for the infrastructure management components within each AZ.
- E. Select two close proximity AZs and configure a stretched management workload domain.

Answer: CE

Explanation:

The requirements specify centralized management components and a 99.99% availability SLA (allowing ~52 minutes of downtime per year) in a VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF) 5.2 multi-AZ design. In VCF, management components (e.g., SDDC Manager, vCenter, NSX Manager) are typically deployed in a Management Domain, and multi-AZ designs leverage availability zones for resilience. Let's evaluate each option: Option A: Implement a stretched L2 VLAN for the infrastructure management components between the AZs. A stretched L2 VLAN extends network segments across AZs, potentially supporting centralized management. However, it doesn't inherently ensure 99.99% availability without additional HA mechanisms (e.g., vSphere HA, NSX clustering). The VCF 5.2 Architectural Guide notes that L2 stretching alone lacks failover orchestration and may introduce latency or single points of failure if not paired with a stretched cluster, making it insufficient here.

Option B: Select two distant AZs and configure separate management workload domains. Separate management workload domains in distant AZs decentralize management components (e.g., separate SDDC Managers, vCenters), violating the requirement for centralization. The VCF 5.2 Administration Guide states that multiple management domains increase complexity and don't inherently meet high availability SLAs without cross-site replication, ruling this out.

Option C: Implement VMware Live Recovery between the selected AZs. VMware Live Recovery (part of VMware's DR portfolio, integrating Site Recovery Manager and vSphere Replication) provides disaster recovery across AZs. It ensures centralized management components (in one AZ) can fail over to a secondary AZ, maintaining an RTO/RPO that supports 99.99% availability when properly configured (e.g., <5-minute failover with replication). The VCF 5.2 Architectural Guide recommends Live Recovery for multi-AZ resilience while keeping management centralized, making it a strong fit.

Option D: Implement separate VLANs for the infrastructure management components within each AZ. Separate VLANs per AZ enhance network isolation but imply distributed management components across AZs, contradicting the centralized requirement. Even if management is centralized in one AZ, separate VLANs don't directly improve availability to 99.99% without HA or DR mechanisms, per the VCF 5.2 Networking Guide.

Option E: Select two close proximity AZs and configure a stretched management workload domain. A stretched management workload domain spans two close AZs (e.g., <10ms latency) using vSphere HA, vSAN stretched clusters, and NSX federation. This keeps management components centralized (single SDDC Manager, vCenter) while achieving 99.99% availability through synchronous replication and automatic failover. The VCF 5.2 Architectural Guide highlights stretched clusters as a best practice for multi-AZ designs, ensuring minimal downtime (e.g., seconds during host/AZ failure), meeting the SLA.

Conclusion:

C: VMware Live Recovery enables centralized management with DR failover, supporting 99.99% availability.

E: A stretched management domain in close AZs ensures centralized, highly available management with near-zero downtime. These decisions align with VCF 5.2 multi-AZ best practices.

References:
 VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architectural Guide (docs.vmware.com): Multi-AZ Design and Stretched Clusters.

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Administration Guide (docs.vmware.com): Management Domain Resilience.

VMware Live Recovery Documentation(docs.vmware.com): DR for VCF Environments.

NEW QUESTION 66

An administrator is designing a new VMware Cloud Foundation instance that has to support management, VDI, DB, and general workloads. The DB workloads will stay the same in terms of resources over time. However, the general workloads and VDI environments are expected to grow over the next 3 years. What should the architect include in the documentation?

- A. An assumption that the DB workload resource requirements will remain static.
- B. A constraint of including the management, DB, and VDI environments.
- C. A requirement consisting of the growth of the general workloads and VDI environment.
- D. A risk that the VCF instance may not have enough capacity for growth.

Answer: A

Explanation:

In VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF) 5.2, design documentation includes assumptions, constraints, requirements, and risks to define the solution's scope and address potential challenges. The scenario provides specific information about workload types and their behavior over time, which the architect must categorize appropriately. Let's evaluate each option:

Option A: An assumption that the DB workload resource requirements will remain static. This is the correct answer. An assumption is a statement taken as true without proof, often based on customer-provided information, to guide design planning. The customer explicitly states that "the DB workloads will stay the same in terms of resources over time." Documenting this as an assumption reflects this fact and allows the architect to size the VCF instance with a fixed resource allocation for DB workloads, while planning scalability for other workloads. This aligns with VMware's design methodology for capturing stable baseline conditions.

Option B: A constraint of including the management, DB, and VDI environments. This is incorrect. A constraint is a limitation or restriction imposed on the design, such as existing hardware or policies. The need to support management, VDI, DB, and general workloads is a requirement (what the solution must do), not a limitation. Labeling it a constraint misrepresents its role—it's a design goal, not a restrictive factor. Constraints might include budget or rack space, but this scenario doesn't indicate such limits.

Option C: A requirement consisting of the growth of the general workloads and VDI environment. This is a strong contender but incorrect in this context. A requirement defines what the solution must achieve, and the customer's statement that "general workloads and VDI environments are expected to grow over the next 3 years" could be a requirement (e.g., "The solution must support growth"). However, the question asks for a single item, and Option A better captures a foundational planning element (static DB workloads) that directly informs sizing. Growth could be a requirement, but it's less immediate than the assumption about DB stability for initial design documentation.

Option D: A risk that the VCF instance may not have enough capacity for growth. This is incorrect as the primary answer. A risk identifies potential issues that could impact success, such as insufficient capacity for growing workloads. While this is a valid concern given VDI and general workload growth, the scenario doesn't provide evidence of immediate capacity limitations—only an expectation of growth. Risks are typically documented after sizing, not as the sole initial inclusion. The assumption about DB workloads is more fundamental to start the design process.

Conclusion: The architect should include an assumption that the DB workload resource requirements will remain static (Option A). This reflects the customer's explicit statement, establishes a baseline for sizing the Management Domain and Workload Domains, and allows planning for growth elsewhere. While growth (C) and risk (D) are relevant, the assumption is the most immediate and appropriate single item for initial documentation in VCF 5.2.

References:

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Planning and Preparation Guide (Section: Design Assumptions and Requirements)

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architecture and Deployment Guide (Section: Workload Domain Sizing)

NEW QUESTION 67

An architect is working with a service provider to design a VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF) solution that is required to host workloads for multiple tenants. The following requirements were gathered:

Each tenant requires full access to their own vCenter.

Each tenant will utilize and manage their own identity provider for access. A total of 28 tenants are expected to be onboarded.

Each tenant will have their own independent VCF lifecycle maintenance schedule. Which VCF architecture option will meet these requirements?

- A. A single VCF instance consolidated architecture model with 28 tenant clusters
- B. A single VCF instance standard architecture model and 28 isolated SSO domains
- C. Two VCF instances consolidated architecture model with 14 tenant clusters each
- D. Two VCF instances with standard architecture model and 14 isolated SSO domains each

Answer: C

Explanation:

To determine the appropriate VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF) architecture for this scenario, we need to evaluate each option against the provided requirements and the capabilities of VCF 5.2 as outlined in official documentation.

Requirement Analysis:

Each tenant requires full access to their own vCenter: This implies that each tenant needs a dedicated vCenter Server instance for managing their workloads, ensuring isolation and administrative control.

Each tenant will utilize and manage their own identity provider: This requires separate Single Sign-On (SSO) domains or identity sources per tenant, as tenants must integrate their own identity providers (e.g., Active Directory, LDAP) independently.

A total of 28 tenants: The solution must scale to support 28 isolated environments. **Independent VCF lifecycle maintenance schedule:** Each tenant's environment must support its own lifecycle management (e.g., upgrades, patches) without impacting others, implying separate VCF instances or fully isolated workload domains.

VCF Architecture Models Overview (Based on VCF 5.2 Documentation):

Standard Architecture Model: A single VCF instance with one vCenter Server managing all workload domains under a single SSO domain. Additional workload domains share the same vCenter and SSO infrastructure.

Consolidated Architecture Model: A single VCF instance where the management domain and workload domains are managed by one vCenter Server, but workload domains can be isolated at the cluster level.

Multiple VCF Instances: Separate VCF deployments, each with its own management domain, vCenter Server, and SSO domain, enabling full isolation and independent lifecycle management.

Option Analysis:

* A. A single VCF instance consolidated architecture model with 28 tenant clusters: In a consolidated architecture, a single vCenter Server manages the management domain and all workload clusters. While 28 tenant clusters could be created, all would share the same vCenter and SSO domain. This violates the requirements for each tenant having their own vCenter and managing their own identity provider, as a single SSO domain cannot support 28 independent identity providers. Additionally, lifecycle management would be tied to the single VCF instance, conflicting with the independent maintenance schedule requirement. This option does not meet the requirements.

* B. A single VCF instance standard architecture model and 28 isolated SSO domains: In a standard architecture, a single VCF instance includes one vCenter Server and one SSO domain for all workload domains. While workload domains can be created for isolation, VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 does not support multiple isolated SSO domains within a single vCenter instance. The vSphere SSO architecture allows only one SSO domain per vCenter Server. Even with creative configurations (e.g., identity federation), managing 28 independent identity providers within one SSO domain is impractical and unsupported. Furthermore, all workload domains share the same lifecycle schedule under one VCF instance, failing the independent maintenance requirement. This option is not viable.

* C. Two VCF instances consolidated architecture model with 14 tenant clusters each: With two VCF instances, each instance has its own management domain, vCenter Server, and SSO domain. Each instance operates in a consolidated architecture, where tenant clusters (workload domains) are managed by the instance's vCenter. However, the key here is that each VCF instance can be fully isolated from the other, allowing: Each tenant cluster to be assigned a dedicated vCenter (via separate workload domains or vSphere clusters with permissions). Independent SSO domains per instance, with tenant-specific identity providers configured through federation or external identity sources. Independent lifecycle management, as each VCF instance can be upgraded or patched separately. Splitting 28 tenants into 14 per instance is feasible, as VCF 5.2 supports up to 25 workload domains per instance (per the VCF Design Guide), and tenant isolation can be achieved at the cluster level with proper permissions and NSX segmentation. This option meets all requirements.

* D. Two VCF instances with standard architecture model and 14 isolated SSO domains each: In a standard architecture, each VCF instance has one vCenter Server and one SSO domain. While having two instances provides lifecycle independence, the mention of "14 isolated SSO domains each" is misleading and unsupported. A single vCenter Server (and thus a single VCF instance) supports only one SSO domain. It's possible this intends to mean 14 tenants with isolated identity configurations, but this would still conflict with the single-SSO limitation per instance. Even with two instances, achieving 14 isolated SSO domains per instance is not architecturally possible in VCF 5.2. This option fails the identity provider and vCenter requirements.

Conclusion: Option C (Two VCF instances consolidated architecture model with 14 tenant clusters each) is the only architecture that satisfies all requirements. It provides tenant isolation via separate clusters, supports dedicated vCenter access through permissions or additional vCenter deployments, allows independent identity providers via SSO federation, scales to 28 tenants across two instances, and ensures independent lifecycle management.

References:

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Design Guide (Section: Architecture Models) VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Planning and Preparation Workbook (Section: Multi-Tenancy Considerations)

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Administration Guide (Section: Lifecycle Management) VMware vSphere 8.0 Update 3 Documentation (Section: SSO and Identity Federation)

NEW QUESTION 70

An organization is planning to expand their existing VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF) environment to meet an increased demand for new user-facing applications. The physical host hardware proposed for the expansion is a different model compared to the existing hosts, although it has been confirmed that both sets of hardware are compatible. The expansion needs to provide capacity for management tooling workloads dedicated to the applications, and it has been decided to deploy a new cluster within the management domain to host the workloads. What should the architect include within the logical design for this design decision?

- A. The design justification stating that the separate cluster provides flexibility for manageability and connectivity of the workloads
- B. The design assumption stating that the separate cluster will provide complete isolation for lifecycle management
- C. The design implication stating that the management tooling and the VCF management workloads have different purposes
- D. The design qualities affected by the decision listed as Availability and Performance

Answer: A

Explanation:

In VCF, the logical design documents how design decisions align with requirements, often through justifications, assumptions, or implications. Here, adding a new cluster within the management domain for dedicated management tooling workloads requires a rationale in the logical design. Option A, a justification that the separate cluster enhances "flexibility for manageability and connectivity," aligns with VCF's principles of workload segregation and operational efficiency. It explains why the decision was made—improving management tooling's flexibility—without assuming unstated outcomes (like B's "complete isolation," which isn't supported by the scenario) or merely stating effects (C and D). The management domain in VCF 5.2 can host additional clusters for such purposes, and this justification ties directly to the requirement for dedicated capacity.

Reference: VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Planning and Preparation Guide, Chapter 4: Logical Design Considerations, Section on Design Justifications.

NEW QUESTION 71

An architect is designing a new VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF)-based Private Cloud solution. During the requirements gathering workshop, a network team stakeholder stated that:

- The solution must ensure that any physical networking component has N + N redundancy.
- The solution must ensure that inter-datacenter network links are diversely routed. When documenting the design, how should the architect classify these requirements?

- A. Recoverability
- B. Availability
- C. Performance
- D. Manageability

Answer: B

Explanation:

Reference: VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architect Study Guide, Chapter 3: Design Qualities, Section on Availability; VMware Validated Design 6.2, Network Redundancy.

NEW QUESTION 75

The following requirements were identified in an architecture workshop for a virtual infrastructure design project.

REQ001: All virtual machines must satisfy the Recovery Point Objective (RPO) of fifteen (15) minutes or less in a disaster recovery (DR) situation

REQ002: Service level availability must satisfy 99.999% measured yearly. Which two test cases will validate these requirements?

- A. Simulate or invoke an outage of the primary datacenter
- B. All virtual machines must be restored within fifteen (15) minutes or less.
- C. Simulate or invoke an outage of the primary datacenter
- D. All virtual machines must not lose more than one (1) hour of data prior to the outage.

- E. Simulate or invoke an outage of the primary datacenter
- F. All virtual machines must not lose more than fifteen (15) minutes of data prior to the outage.
- G. Simulate or invoke an outage of the primary datacenter
- H. All virtual machines must be restored within one (1) hour or less.

Answer: AC

Explanation:

Reference:VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Disaster Recovery Guide, Section on RPO and RTO Validation; VMware Site Recovery Manager 8.6 Documentation, Test Case Design.

NEW QUESTION 78

The following design decisions were made relating to storage design:

- A storage policy that would support failure of a single fault domain being the server rack
- Two vSAN OSA disk groups per host each consisting of four 4TB Samsung SSD capacity drives
- Two vSAN OSA disk groups per host each consisting of a single 300GB Intel NVMe cache drive
- Encryption at rest capable disk drives
- Dual 10Gb or faster storage network adapters

Which two design decisions would an architect include within the physical design? (Choose two.)

- A. A storage policy that would support failure of a single fault domain being the server rack
- B. Two vSAN OSA disk groups per host each consisting of a single 300GB Intel NVMe cache drive
- C. Encryption at rest capable disk drives
- D. Dual 10Gb or faster storage network adapters
- E. Two vSAN OSA disk groups per host each consisting of four 4TB Samsung SSD capacity drives

Answer: DE

Explanation:

Reference:VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 vSAN Design Guide, Physical Storage Design; VMware vSAN 7.0 Planning and Deployment Guide.

NEW QUESTION 79

A design requirement has been specified for a new VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF) instance. All managed workload resources must be lifecycle managed with the following criteria:

- Development resources must be automatically reclaimed after two weeks
- Production resources will be reviewed yearly for reclamation
- Resources identified for reclamation must allow time for review and possible extension What capability will satisfy the requirements?

- A. Aria Suite Lifecycle Content Management
- B. Aria Operations Rightsizing Recommendations
- C. Aria Automation Lease Policy
- D. Aria Automation Project Membership

Answer: C

Explanation:

Reference:VMware Aria Automation 8.10 Administration Guide, Section on Lease Policies; VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architect Study Guide, Automation Features.

NEW QUESTION 84

As part of the requirement gathering phase, an architect identified the following requirement for the newly deployed SDDC environment:

Reduce the network latency between two application virtual machines.

To meet the application owner's goal, which design decision should be included in the design?

- A. Configure a Storage DRS rule to keep the application virtual machines on the same datastore.
- B. Configure a DRS rule to keep the application virtual machines on the same ESXi host.
- C. Configure a DRS rule to separate the application virtual machines to different ESXi hosts.
- D. Configure a Storage DRS rule to keep the application virtual machines on different datastores.

Answer: B

Explanation:

The requirement is to reduce network latency between two application virtual machines (VMs) in a VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF) 5.2 SDDC environment. Network latency is influenced by the physical distance and network hops between VMs. In a vSphere environment (core to VCF), VMs on the same ESXi host communicate via the host's virtual switch (vSwitch or vDS), avoiding physical network traversal, which minimizes latency. Let's evaluate each option:

Option A: Configure a Storage DRS rule to keep the application virtual machines on the same datastore. Storage DRS manages datastore usage and VM placement based on storage I/O and capacity, not network latency. The vSphere Resource Management Guide notes that Storage DRS rules (e.g., VM affinity) affect storage location, not host placement. Two VMs on the same datastore could still reside on different hosts, requiring network communication over physical links (e.g., 10GbE), which doesn't inherently reduce latency. Option B: Configure a DRS rule to keep the application virtual machines on the same ESXi host. DRS (Distributed Resource Scheduler) controls VM placement across hosts for load balancing and can enforce affinity rules. A "keep together" affinity rule ensures the two VMs run on the same ESXi host, where communication occurs via the host's internal vSwitch, bypassing physical network latency (typically <1μs vs. milliseconds over a LAN). The VCF 5.2 Architectural Guide and vSphere Resource Management Guide recommend this for latency-sensitive applications, directly meeting the requirement.

Option C: Configure a DRS rule to separate the application virtual machines to different ESXi hosts. A DRS anti-affinity rule forces VMs onto different hosts, increasing network latency as traffic must traverse the physical network (e.g., switches, routers). This contradicts the goal of reducing latency, making it unsuitable.

Option D: Configure a Storage DRS rule to keep the application virtual machines on different datastores. A Storage DRS anti-affinity rule separates VMs across datastores, but this affects storage placement, not host location. VMs on different datastores could still be on different hosts, increasing network latency over physical links. This doesn't address the requirement, per the vSphere Resource Management Guide.

Conclusion:Option B is the correct design decision. A DRS affinity rule ensures the VMs share the same host, minimizing network latency by leveraging intra-host communication, aligning with VCF 5.2 best practices for latency-sensitive workloads.References: VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architectural Guide(docs.vmware.com): Section on DRS and Workload Placement.
vSphere Resource Management Guide(docs.vmware.com): DRS Affinity Rules and Network Latency Considerations.
VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Administration Guide(docs.vmware.com): SDDC Design for Performance.

NEW QUESTION 89

.....

Thank You for Trying Our Product

We offer two products:

1st - We have Practice Tests Software with Actual Exam Questions

2nd - Questions and Answers in PDF Format

2V0-13.24 Practice Exam Features:

- * 2V0-13.24 Questions and Answers Updated Frequently
- * 2V0-13.24 Practice Questions Verified by Expert Senior Certified Staff
- * 2V0-13.24 Most Realistic Questions that Guarantee you a Pass on Your FirstTry
- * 2V0-13.24 Practice Test Questions in Multiple Choice Formats and Updatesfor 1 Year

100% Actual & Verified — Instant Download, Please Click
[Order The 2V0-13.24 Practice Test Here](#)