

VMware

Exam Questions 2V0-13.24

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architect



NEW QUESTION 1

An architect is documenting the design for a new VMware Cloud Foundation solution. Which statement would be an example of a conceptual model for this solution?

- A. A detailed description of the VMware Cloud Foundation solution configuration, including host names and IP addresses
- B. A detailed diagram of the interfaces of the NSX Edge components within the management domain in the data center
- C. A high-level diagram of the VMware Cloud Foundation solution showing the workload domains with the number of physical hosts per cluster
- D. A high-level overview of the solution, including risks, assumptions, and constraints

Answer: C

Explanation:

In the context of VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF) 5.2, a conceptual model is a high-level representation of the solution that outlines its key components, structure, and purpose without delving into granular implementation details. It serves as an initial blueprint to communicate the overall design to stakeholders, focusing on the "what" rather than the "how." According to VMware's architectural design methodology, as detailed in the official VMware Cloud Foundation documentation, the conceptual model is distinguished from logical and physical models by its abstraction level.

Option A: A detailed description of the VMware Cloud Foundation solution configuration, including host names and IP addresses This option describes a physical model or implementation-specific details rather than a conceptual one. Including host names and IP addresses implies a focus on the specific configuration and deployment specifics, which are part of the physical design phase. A conceptual model does not include such low-level details, so this option is incorrect.

Option B: A detailed diagram of the interfaces of the NSX Edge components within the management domain in the data center This option represents a logical model rather than a conceptual one. A detailed diagram of NSX Edge interfaces focuses on the specific networking components and their interconnections within the management domain, which is a step beyond the high-level abstraction of a conceptual model. Logical models provide more specificity about how components interact, making this option incorrect for a conceptual model.

Option C: A high-level diagram of the VMware Cloud Foundation solution showing the workload domains with the number of physical hosts per cluster This is the correct answer. A high-level diagram showing workload domains and the number of physical hosts per cluster aligns with the definition of a conceptual model in VMware Cloud Foundation. It provides an abstract view of the solution's structure—highlighting key elements like workload domains and clusters—without diving into implementation specifics like IP addresses or detailed component configurations. This type of diagram effectively communicates the overall architecture, making it an ideal example of a conceptual model. Option D: A high-level overview of the solution, including risks, assumptions, and constraints While this option is high-level and abstract, it leans more toward a design justification or requirements document rather than a conceptual model. Risks, assumptions, and constraints are typically part of the architectural decision-making process and documentation (e.g., in a Design and Decisions section), not the conceptual model itself. A conceptual model focuses on the structure and components of the solution, not the surrounding context, making this option incorrect.

In VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2, the architecture follows a layered approach: conceptual, logical, and physical designs. The conceptual model is the first step, providing a bird's-eye view of the solution, such as the relationship between management and workload domains and the distribution of clusters. Option C fits this description perfectly by illustrating the workload domains and host counts at a high level.

References:

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architecture and Deployment Guide (Section: Design Methodology)

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Planning and Preparation Guide (Section: Architectural Overview)

VMware Validated Design Documentation (Conceptual Design Principles, applicable to VCF 5.2)

NEW QUESTION 2

An architect is designing a new VCF solution to meet the following requirements: The solution must be deployed across two availability zones.

The physical hosts must be installed in a single rack per availability zone.

Workloads running in the cluster must be able to run on hosts in either availability zone. The architect has decided that to meet these requirements, the solution will be deployed using the Single Instance - Multiple Availability Zones VCF Topology. When considering the design for the network, what should the architect include in the logical design to meet these requirements?

- A. A physical network fabric in a leaf-spine configuration with dual Cisco switches within each availability zone.
- B. A highly available gateway that supports the failure of an entire availability zone.
- C. A 25-GbE port on each Top of Rack (ToR) switch connected to the ESXi host uplinks.
- D. A single NSX Overlay Transport Zone for all clusters to carry the traffic between the ESXi hosts.

Answer: D

Explanation:

The VCF 5.2 design uses a Single Instance - Multiple Availability Zones topology (e.g., stretched cluster), requiring centralized management across two AZs, hosts in one rack per AZ, and workload mobility across AZs. The logical design focuses on high-level networking architecture, not physical details. Let's evaluate:

Option A: A physical network fabric in a leaf-spine configuration with dual Cisco switches within each availability zone A leaf-spine fabric enhances physical network scalability and redundancy, aligning with rack-based deployments. However, it's a physical design detail (switch topology), not a logical networking decision, per the VCF 5.2 Design Guide.

Option B: A highly available gateway that supports the failure of an entire availability zone A gateway (e.g., NSX Edge Tier-0) with AZ failover supports North-South traffic resilience. While valuable, it doesn't directly enable workload mobility across AZs (East-West traffic), which is the core requirement. The VCF 5.2 Networking Guide treats gateways as supplementary, not foundational for stretched clusters.

Option C: A 25-GbE port on each Top of Rack (ToR) switch connected to the ESXi host uplinks Specifying 25-GbE ports is a physical network detail (bandwidth, cabling), not a logical design element. The VCF 5.2 Design Guide delegates port speeds to physical implementation, not logical architecture.

Option D: A single NSX Overlay Transport Zone for all clusters to carry the traffic between the ESXi hosts In a stretched cluster topology, a single NSX Overlay Transport Zone enables VM mobility across AZs via overlay networks (e.g., Geneve). It ensures workloads can run on hosts in either AZ by providing a unified L2/L3 connectivity layer, managed by NSX. The VCF 5.2 Architectural Guide mandates a single Overlay TZ for stretched deployments to support vMotion and workload distribution, directly meeting the requirement.

Conclusion: Option D is the logical design decision, enabling workload mobility across AZs in a stretched VCF topology via NSX overlay networking. References:

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architectural Guide(docs.vmware.com): Multi-AZ Topology and NSX Overlay.

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Networking Guide(docs.vmware.com): Transport Zones in Stretched Clusters.

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Design Guide(docs.vmware.com): Logical vs. Physical Design.

NEW QUESTION 3

The following are a set of design decisions related to networking: DD01: Set NSX Distributed Firewall (DFW) to block all traffic by default.

DD02: Use VLANs to separate physical network functions.

DD03: Connect the management interface eth0 of each NSX Edge node to VLAN 100. DD04: Deploy 2x 64-port Cisco Nexus 9300 switches for top-of-rack ESXi host

connectivity.

Which design decision would an architect include in the logical design?

- A. DD04
- B. DD01
- C. DD03
- D. DD02

Answer: D

Explanation:

In VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF) 5.2, the logical design outlines high-level architectural decisions that define the system's structure and behavior, distinct from physical or operational details, as per the VCF 5.2 Design Guide. Networking decisions in the logical design focus on connectivity frameworks, security policies, and scalability. Let's evaluate each:

Option A: DD04 - Deploy 2x 64-port Cisco Nexus 9300 switches for top-of-rack ESXi host connectivity This specifies physical hardware (switch model, port count), which belongs in the physical design (e.g., BOM, rack layout). The VCF 5.2 Architectural Guide classifies hardware selections as physical, not logical, unless they dictate architecture, which isn't the case here.

Option B: DD01 - Set NSX Distributed Firewall (DFW) to block all traffic by default This is a specific security policy within NSX DFW, defining traffic behavior. While critical, it's an implementation detail (e.g., rule configuration), not a high-level logical design decision. The VCF 5.2 Networking Guide places DFW rules in detailed design, not the logical overview.

Option C: DD03 - Connect the management interface eth0 of each NSX Edge node to VLAN 100 This details a specific interface-to-VLAN mapping, an operational or physical configuration. The VCF 5.2 Networking Guide treats such specifics as implementation-level decisions, not logical design elements.

Option D: DD02 - Use VLANs to separate physical network functions Using VLANs to segment network functions (e.g., management, vMotion, vSAN) is a foundational networking architecture decision in VCF. It defines the logical separation of traffic types, enhancing security and scalability. The VCF 5.2 Architectural Guide includes VLAN segmentation as a core logical design component, aligning with standard VCF networking practices.

Conclusion: Option D (DD02) is included in the logical design, as it defines the architectural approach to network segmentation, a key logical networking decision in VCF 5.2.

References:

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architectural Guide(docs.vmware.com): Logical Design and Network Segmentation.

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Networking Guide(docs.vmware.com): VLAN Usage in VCF. VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Design Guide(docs.vmware.com): Logical vs. Physical Design.

NEW QUESTION 4

An architect is preparing a VI Workload Domain design with a dedicated NSX instance. The workload domain is planned to grow up to 300 ESXi hosts within the next six months. Which is the minimum NSX Manager form factor that should be recommended by the architect for this VI Workload Domain to support the forecasted growth?

- A. Large
- B. Medium
- C. Extra Small
- D. Small

Answer: A

Explanation:

Reference: NSX-T 3.2 Reference Design Guide (VCF 5.2 compatible), Section on NSX Manager Sizing; VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Deployment Guide, Workload Domain Sizing.

NEW QUESTION 5

As part of a VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF) design, an architect is responsible for planning for the migration of existing workloads using HCX to a new VCF environment. Which two prerequisites would the architect require to complete the objective? (Choose two.)

- A. Extended IP spaces for all moving workloads.
- B. DRS enabled within the VCF instance.
- C. Service accounts for the applicable appliances.
- D. NSX Federation implemented between the VCF instances.
- E. Active Directory configured as an authentication source.

Answer: CE

Explanation:

VMware HCX (Hybrid Cloud Extension) is a key workload migration tool in VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF) 5.2, enabling seamless movement of VMs between on-premises environments and VCF instances (or between VCF instances). To plan an HCX-based migration, the architect must ensure prerequisites are met for deployment, connectivity, and operation. Let's evaluate each option:

Option A: Extended IP spaces for all moving workloads This is incorrect. HCX supports migrations with or without extending IP spaces. Features like HCX vMotion and Bulk Migration allow VMs to retain their IP addresses (Layer 2 extension via Network Extension), while HCX Mobility Optimized Networking (MON) can adapt IPs if needed. Extended IP space is a design choice, not a prerequisite, making this option unnecessary for completing the objective.

Option B: DRS enabled within the VCF instance This is incorrect. VMware Distributed Resource Scheduler (DRS) optimizes VM placement and load balancing within a cluster but is not required for HCX migrations. HCX operates independently of DRS, handling VM mobility across environments (e.g., from a source vSphere to a VCF destination). While DRS might enhance resource management post-migration, it's not a prerequisite for HCX functionality.

Option C: Service accounts for the applicable appliances This is correct. HCX requires service accounts with appropriate permissions to interact with source and destination environments (e.g., vCenter Server, NSX). In VCF 5.2, HCX appliances (e.g., HCX Manager, Interconnect, WAN Optimizer) need credentials to authenticate and perform operations like VM discovery, migration, and network extension. The architect must ensure these accounts are configured with sufficient privileges (e.g., read/write access in vCenter), making this a critical prerequisite.

Option D: NSX Federation implemented between the VCF instances This is incorrect. NSX Federation is a multi-site networking construct for unified policy management across NSX deployments, but it's not required for HCX migrations. HCX leverages its own Network Extension service to stretch Layer 2 networks between sites, independent of NSX Federation. While NSX is part of VCF, Federation is an advanced feature unrelated to HCX's core migration capabilities.

Option E: Active Directory configured as an authentication source This is correct. In VCF 5.2, HCX integrates with the VCF identity management framework, which typically uses Active Directory (AD) via vSphere SSO for authentication. Configuring AD as an authentication source ensures that HCX administrators can log in using centralized

credentials, aligning with VCF's security model. This is a prerequisite for managing HCX appliances and executing migrations securely.

Conclusion: The two prerequisites required for HCX migration in VCF 5.2 are service accounts for the applicable appliances (Option C) to enable HCX operations

and Active Directory configured as an authentication source (Option E) for secure access management. These align with HCX deployment and integration requirements in the VCF ecosystem.

References:

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architecture and Deployment Guide (Section: HCX Integration)

VMware HCX User Guide (VCF 5.2 compatible): Prerequisites and Configuration VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Planning and Preparation Guide (Section: Identity and Access Management)

NEW QUESTION 6

An architect is working on higher-scale NSX Grouping and security design requirements for Management and VI Workload Domains in VMware Cloud Foundation. Which NSX Manager appliance size will be considered for use?

- A. Extra Large
- B. Large
- C. Medium
- D. Small

Answer: B

Explanation:

In VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF) 5.2, NSX Manager appliances manage networking and security (e.g., grouping, policies, firewalls) for Management and VI Workload Domains. The appliance size—Small, Medium, Large, Extra Large—determines its capacity to handle scale, such as the number of hosts, VMs, and security objects. The phrase “higher scale” implies a larger-than-minimum deployment. Let’s evaluate:

NSX Manager Appliance Sizes (VCF 5.2 with NSX-T 3.2):

Small: 4 vCPUs, 16 GB RAM, 300 GB disk. Supports up to 16 hosts, basic deployments (e.g., lab environments).

Medium: 6 vCPUs, 24 GB RAM, 300 GB disk. Supports up to 64 hosts, suitable for small to medium production environments.

Large: 12 vCPUs, 48 GB RAM, 300 GB disk. Supports up to 512 hosts, 10,000 VMs, and complex security policies—standard for production VCF.

Extra Large: 24 vCPUs, 64 GB RAM, 300 GB disk. Supports over 512 hosts, massive scale (e.g., service providers, multi-VCF instances).

VCF Context:

Management Domain: Minimum 4 hosts, often 6-7 for HA, with NSX for overlay networking.

VI Workload Domains: Variable host counts, but “higher scale” suggests multiple domains or significant workload growth.

Security Design: Grouping and policies (e.g., distributed firewall rules, tags) increase NSX Manager load, especially at scale.

Evaluation:

Small: Insufficient for production VCF, limited to 16 hosts. Unsuitable for a Management Domain (4-7 hosts) plus VI Workload Domains.

Medium: Adequate for small VCF deployments (up to 64 hosts), but “higher scale” implies more hosts or complex security, exceeding its capacity.

Large: The default and recommended size for VCF 5.2 production environments. It supports up to 512 hosts, thousands of VMs, and extensive security policies, fitting a Management Domain and multiple VI Workload Domains with “higher scale” needs.

Extra Large: Overkill unless managing hundreds of hosts or multiple VCF instances, which isn’t indicated here.

Conclusion: The Large NSX Manager appliance size (Option B) is appropriate for a higher-scale NSX design in VCF 5.2. It balances capacity and performance for Management and VI Workload Domains with advanced security requirements, aligning with VMware’s standard recommendation.

References:

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architecture and Deployment Guide (Section: NSX Manager Sizing)

NSX-T 3.2 Installation Guide (integrated in VCF 5.2): Appliance Size Specifications VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Planning and Preparation Guide (Section: Security Design)

NEW QUESTION 7

A customer has a database cluster running in a VCF cluster with the following characteristics:

40/60 Read/Write ratio. High IOPS requirement.

No contention on an all-flash OSA vSAN cluster in a VI Workload Domain.

Which two vSAN configuration options should be configured for best performance? (Choose two.)

- A. Flash Read Cache Reservation
- B. RAID 1
- C. Deduplication and Compression disabled
- D. Deduplication and Compression enabled
- E. RAID 5

Answer: BC

Explanation:

The database cluster in a VCF 5.2 VI Workload Domain uses an all-flash vSAN Original Storage Architecture (OSA) cluster with a 40/60 read/write ratio, high IOPS needs, and no contention (implying sufficient resources). vSAN configuration impacts performance, especially for databases. Let’s evaluate:

Option A: Flash Read Cache Reservation In all-flash vSAN OSA, the cache tier (flash) serves writes, not reads, which are handled by the capacity tier (also flash). The vSAN Planning and Deployment Guide notes that Flash Read Cache Reservation is deprecated for all-flash configurations, as reads don’t benefit from caching, making this irrelevant for performance here.

Option B: RAID 1 RAID 1 (mirroring) replicates data across hosts, offering high performance and availability (FTT=1). For a 40/60 read/write workload with high IOPS, RAID 1 minimizes latency and maximizes throughput compared to erasure coding (e.g., RAID 5), as it avoids parity calculations. The VCF 5.2 Architectural Guide recommends RAID 1 for performance-critical workloads like databases, especially with no contention. Option C: Deduplication and Compression disabled Disabling deduplication and compression avoids CPU overhead and latency from data processing, critical for high-IOPS workloads. The vSAN Administration Guide advises disabling these for performance-sensitive applications (e.g., databases), as the 60% write ratio benefits from direct I/O over space efficiency, given no contention.

Option D: Deduplication and Compression enabled Enabling deduplication and compression reduces storage use but increases latency and CPU load, degrading performance for high-IOPS workloads. The vSAN Planning and Deployment Guide notes this trade-off, making it unsuitable here.

Option E: RAID 5 RAID 5 (erasure coding) uses parity, reducing write performance due to calculations, which conflicts with the 60% write ratio and high IOPS needs. The VCF 5.2 Architectural Guide recommends RAID 5 for capacity optimization, not performance, favoring RAID 1 instead.

Conclusion:

B: RAID 1 ensures high performance for IOPS and write-heavy workloads.

C: Disabling deduplication and compression optimizes I/O performance. These align with vSAN best practices for all-flash database clusters in VCF 5.2.

References:

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architectural Guide (docs.vmware.com): vSAN Configuration for Performance.

vSAN Planning and Deployment Guide (docs.vmware.com): RAID Levels and All-Flash Settings.

vSAN Administration Guide (docs.vmware.com): Deduplication and Compression Impact.

NEW QUESTION 8

A customer is deploying VCF at a new datacenter location. They will migrate their workloads from the existing datacenter to the new VCF platform over six months. Both datacenters will run simultaneously for six months during the migration. Which of the following should be a documented risk?

- A. Six months may not be enough time to complete the migration.
- B. There will be connectivity between the two locations.
- C. Bandwidth between the two locations is sufficient to accommodate the workload migration.
- D. Workloads will be powered off during migration.

Answer: A

Explanation:

Reference:VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Planning and Preparation Guide, Chapter 5: Risk Assessment; VMware Migration Best Practices for VCF.

NEW QUESTION 9

During the requirements gathering workshop for a new VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF)- based Private Cloud solution, the customer states that the solution must:

- Provide a single interface for monitoring all components of the solution.
- Minimize the effort required to maintain the solution to N-1 software versions. When creating the design document, under which design quality should the architect classify these stated requirements?

- A. Manageability
- B. Recoverability
- C. Availability
- D. Performance

Answer: A

Explanation:

Reference:VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architect Study Guide, Chapter 3: Design Qualities, Manageability Section.

NEW QUESTION 10

During a requirement capture workshop, the customer expressed a plan to use Aria Operations Continuous Availability. The customer identified two datacenters that meet the network requirements to support Continuous Availability; however, they are unsure which of the following datacenters would be suitable for the Witness Node.

Datacenter	Network Latency	Network Peaks	Network Bandwidth
A	<30ms	Up to 60ms during 20sec intervals	10Mbits/sec
B	<30ms	Up to 60ms during 20sec intervals	5Mbits/sec
C	<60ms	Up to 120ms during 20sec intervals	10Mbits/sec
D	<60ms	Up to 120ms during 20sec intervals	5Mbits/sec

Which datacenter meets the minimum network requirements for the Witness Node?

- A. Datacenter A
- B. Datacenter B
- C. Datacenter C
- D. Datacenter D

Answer: A

Explanation:

VMware Aria Operations Continuous Availability (CA) is a feature in VMware Aria Operations (integrated with VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2) that provides high availability by splitting analytics nodes across two fault domains (datacenters) with a Witness Node in a third location to arbitrate in case of a split-brain scenario. The Witness Node has specific network requirements for latency and bandwidth to ensure reliable communication with the primary and replica nodes. These requirements are outlined in the VMware Aria Operations documentation, which aligns with VCF 5.2 integration.

VMware Aria Operations CA Witness Node Network Requirements: Network Latency:

The Witness Node requires a round-trip latency of less than 100ms between itself and both fault domains under normal conditions.

Peak latency spikes are acceptable if they are temporary and do not exceed operational thresholds, but sustained latency above 100ms can disrupt Witness functionality. Network Bandwidth:

The minimum bandwidth requirement for the Witness Node is 10Mbits/sec (10 Mbps) to support heartbeat traffic, state synchronization, and arbitration duties. Lower bandwidth risks communication delays or failures.

Network Stability:

Temporary latency spikes (e.g., during 20-second intervals) are tolerable as long as the baseline latency remains within limits and bandwidth supports consistent communication. Evaluation of Each Datacenter:

Datacenter A: <30ms latency, peaks up to 60ms during 20sec intervals, 10Mbits/sec bandwidth

Latency: Baseline latency is <30ms, well below the 100ms threshold. Peak latency of 60ms during 20-second intervals is still under 100ms and temporary, posing no issue. Bandwidth: 10Mbits/sec meets the minimum requirement.

Conclusion: Datacenter A fully satisfies the Witness Node requirements.

Datacenter B: <30ms latency, peaks up to 60ms during 20sec intervals, 5Mbits/sec bandwidth

Latency: Baseline <30ms and peaks up to 60ms are acceptable, similar to Datacenter A. Bandwidth: 5Mbits/sec falls below the required 10Mbits/sec, risking insufficient capacity for Witness Node traffic.

Conclusion: Datacenter B does not meet the bandwidth requirement.

Datacenter C: <60ms latency, peaks up to 120ms during 20sec intervals, 10Mbits/sec bandwidth

Latency: Baseline <60ms is within the 100ms limit, but peaks of 120ms exceed the threshold. While temporary (20-second intervals), such spikes could disrupt Witness Node arbitration if they occur during critical operations.

Bandwidth: 10Mbits/sec meets the requirement.

Conclusion: Datacenter C fails due to excessive latency peaks.

Datacenter D: <60ms latency, peaks up to 120ms during 20sec intervals, 5Mbits/sec bandwidth

Latency: Baseline <60ms is acceptable, but peaks of 120ms exceed 100ms, similar to Datacenter C, posing a risk.

Bandwidth: 5Mbits/sec is below the required 10Mbits/sec. Conclusion: Datacenter D fails on both latency peaks and bandwidth. Conclusion:

Only Datacenter A meets the minimum network requirements for the Witness Node in Aria Operations Continuous Availability. Its baseline latency (<30ms) and peak latency (60ms) are within the 100ms threshold, and its bandwidth (10Mbits/sec) satisfies the minimum requirement. Datacenter B lacks sufficient bandwidth, while Datacenters C and D exceed acceptable latency during peaks (and D also lacks bandwidth). In a VCF 5.2 design, the architect would recommend Datacenter A for the Witness Node to ensure reliable CA operation.

References:

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architecture and Deployment Guide (Section: Aria Operations Integration)

VMware Aria Operations 8.10 Documentation (integrated in VCF 5.2): Continuous Availability Planning

VMware Aria Operations 8.10 Installation and Configuration Guide (Section: Network Requirements for Witness Node)

NEW QUESTION 10

The following are a list of design decisions made relating to networking: NSX Distributed Firewall (DFW) rule to block all traffic by default. Implement overlay network technology to scale across data centers.

Configure Cisco Discovery Protocol (CDP) - Listen mode on all Distributed Virtual Switches (DVS).

Use of 2x 64-port Cisco Nexus 9300 for top-of-rack ESXi host switches. Which design decision would an architect document within the logical design?

- A. Use of 2x 64-port Cisco Nexus 9300 for top-of-rack ESXi host switches.
- B. NSX Distributed Firewall (DFW) rule to block all traffic by default.
- C. Implement overlay network technology to scale across data centers.
- D. Configure Cisco Discovery Protocol (CDP) - Listen mode on all Distributed Virtual Switches (DVS).

Answer: C

Explanation:

In VCF 5.2, the logical design focuses on high-level architectural decisions that define the system's structure and behavior, as opposed to physical or operational details. Networking decisions in the logical design emphasize scalability, security policies, and connectivity frameworks, per the VCF 5.2 Architectural Guide. Let's evaluate each: Option A: Use of 2x 64-port Cisco Nexus 9300 for top-of-rack ESXi host switches This specifies physical hardware, a detail typically documented in the physical design (e.g., BOM, rack layout). The VCF 5.2 Design Guide distinguishes hardware choices as physical, not logical, unless they dictate architecture (e.g., spine-leaf), which isn't implied here. Option B: NSX Distributed Firewall (DFW) rule to block all traffic by default This is a security policy configuration within NSX, defining how traffic is controlled. While critical, it's an operational or detailed design decision (e.g., rule set), not a high-level logical design element. The VCF 5.2 Networking Guide places DFW rules in implementation details, not the logical overview.

Option C: Implement overlay network technology to scale across data centers Overlay networking (e.g., NSX VXLAN or Geneve) is a foundational architectural decision in VCF, enabling scalability, multi-site connectivity, and logical separation of networks. The VCF 5.2 Architectural Guide highlights overlays as a core logical design component, directly impacting how the solution scales across data centers, making it a prime candidate for the logical design.

Option D: Configure Cisco Discovery Protocol (CDP) - Listen mode on all Distributed Virtual Switches (DVS) CDP in Listen mode aids network discovery and troubleshooting on DVS. This is a configuration setting, not a logical design decision. The VCF 5.2 Networking Guide treats such protocol settings as operational details, not architectural choices.

Conclusion: Option C belongs in the logical design, as it defines a scalable networking architecture critical to VCF 5.2's multi-data center capabilities. References:

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architectural Guide(docs.vmware.com): Logical Design and Overlay Networking.

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Networking Guide(docs.vmware.com): NSX and DVS Configuration.

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Design Guide(docs.vmware.com): Logical vs. Physical Design.

NEW QUESTION 13

During a requirements gathering workshop, several Business and Technical requirements were captured from the customer. Which requirement is classified as a Technical Requirement?

- A. Reduce system processing time for service requests by 25%.
- B. The system must support 5,000 concurrent users.
- C. Increase customer satisfaction by 15%.
- D. Expand market reach to include new geographical regions.

Answer: B

Explanation:

In VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF) architecture, requirements are categorized as Business or Technical based on their focus. Technical requirements specify measurable system capabilities or constraints, directly influencing design decisions for infrastructure components like compute, storage, or networking. Business requirements, conversely, focus on organizational goals or outcomes that IT supports. Option B, "The system must support 5,000 concurrent users," is a technical requirement because it defines a specific system capacity metric (concurrent users), which directly impacts scalability and resource allocation in VCF design, such as the sizing of workload domains or NSX configurations. Option A, "Reduce system processing time for service requests by 25%," could be technical but is often a derivative of a business goal (efficiency), making it less explicitly technical in this context. Options C and D, focusing on customer satisfaction and market reach, are clearly business-oriented, tied to organizational outcomes rather than system specifications.

Reference: VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architect Study Guide, Chapter 2: Requirements Gathering and Analysis, Section on Classifying Requirements.

NEW QUESTION 16

When sizing a VMware Cloud Foundation VI Workload Domain, which three factors should be considered when calculating usable compute capacity? (Choose three.)

- A. NSX

- B. vSphere HA
- C. vSAN
- D. NIOC
- E. Storage DRS
- F. Core Dumps

Answer: BCD

Explanation:

When sizing a VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF) VI Workload Domain, calculating usable compute capacity involves determining the resources available for workloads after accounting for overheads and system-level requirements. In VCF 5.2, a VI Workload Domain integrates vSphere, vSAN, and NSX, and certain factors directly impact the compute capacity available to virtual machines. Based on the official VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 documentation, the three key factors to consider are vSphere HA, vSAN, and NIOC.

NEW QUESTION 18

A company will be expanding their existing VCF environment for a new application. The existing VCF environment currently has a management domain and two separate VI workload domains with different hardware profiles. The new application has the following requirements:

- The application will use significantly more memory than current workloads today.
- The application will have a limited number of licenses to run on hosts.
- Additional VCF and hardware costs have been approved for the application.
- The application will contain confidential customer information that requires isolation from other workloads.

What design recommendation should the administrator document?

- A. Deploy a new consolidated VCF instance and deploy the new application into it.
- B. A new Workload domain with hardware supporting the memory requirements of the new application should be implemented.
- C. Enough identical hardware for the management domain should be ordered to accommodate the new application requirements and a new workload domain should be designed for the application.
- D. Purchase enough matching hardware to accommodate the new application's memory requirements and expand an existing cluster to accommodate the new application.
- E. Use host affinity rules to manage the new licensing.

Answer: B

Explanation:

Reference: VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architecture and Deployment Guide, Workload Domain Design; VMware vSphere 7.0 Documentation, DRS Affinity Rules.

NEW QUESTION 19

An architect is collaborating with a client to design a VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF) solution required for a highly secure infrastructure project that must remain isolated from all other virtual infrastructures. The client has already acquired six high-density vSAN-ready nodes, and there is no budget to add additional nodes throughout the expected lifespan of this project. Assuming capacity is appropriately sized, which VCF architecture model and topology should the architect suggest?

- A. Single Instance - Multiple Availability Zone Standard architecture model
- B. Single Instance Consolidated architecture model
- C. Single Instance - Single Availability Zone Standard architecture model
- D. Multiple Instance - Single Availability Zone Standard architecture model

Answer: C

Explanation:

VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF) 5.2 offers various architecture models (Consolidated, Standard) and topologies (Single/Multiple Instance, Single/Multiple Availability Zones) to meet different requirements. The client's needs—high security, isolation, six vSAN-ready nodes, and no additional budget—guide the architect's choice. Let's evaluate each option:

Option A: Single Instance - Multiple Availability Zone Standard architecture model This model uses a single VCF instance with separate Management and VI Workload Domains across multiple availability zones (AZs) for resilience. It requires at least four nodes per AZ (minimum for vSAN HA), meaning six nodes are insufficient for two AZs (eight nodes minimum). It also increases complexity and doesn't inherently enhance isolation from other infrastructures. This option is impractical given the node constraint.

Option B: Single Instance Consolidated architecture model The Consolidated model runs management and workload components on a single cluster (minimum four nodes, up to eight typically). With six nodes, this is feasible and capacity-efficient, but it compromises isolation because management and user workloads share the same infrastructure. For a highly secure and isolated project, mixing workloads increases the attack surface and risks compliance, making this less suitable despite fitting the node count.

Option C: Single Instance - Single Availability Zone Standard architecture model This is the correct answer. The Standard model separates management (minimum four nodes) and VI Workload Domains (minimum three nodes, but often four for HA) within a single VCF instance and AZ. With six nodes, the architect can allocate four to the Management Domain and two to a VI Workload Domain (or adjust based on capacity). A single AZ fits the budget constraint (no extra nodes), and isolation is achieved by dedicating the VCF instance to this project, separate from other infrastructures. The high-density vSAN nodes support both domains, and security is enhanced by logical separation of management and workloads, aligning with VCF 5.2 best practices for secure deployments.

Option D: Multiple Instance - Single Availability Zone Standard architecture model Multiple VCF instances (e.g., one for management, one for workloads) in a single AZ require separate node pools, each with a minimum of four nodes for vSAN. Six nodes cannot support two instances (eight nodes minimum), making this option unfeasible given the budget and hardware constraints.

Conclusion: The Single Instance - Single Availability Zone Standard architecture model (Option C) is the best fit. It uses six nodes efficiently (e.g., four for Management, two

for Workload), ensures isolation by dedicating the instance to the project, and meets security needs through logical separation, all within the budget limitation.

References:

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architecture and Deployment Guide (Section: Architecture Models and Topologies)

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Planning and Preparation Guide (Section: Sizing and Isolation Considerations)

NEW QUESTION 21

An architect is designing a VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF)-based private cloud solution for a customer. The customer has stated the following requirement: All components within the solution must be resilient to N+1.

During discovery, the following information has also been provided:

Over the next 3 years, due to various applications being retired, no overall growth in resource consumption is expected. Following a review of a demand-based capacity report from Aria Operations, the architect has calculated that all of the existing workloads should fit into a 4-node cluster. Once all workloads are migrated, the resources of the cluster will be 90% utilized. Given the information provided, a combination of which three design decisions satisfy the requirement? (Choose three.)

- A. The solution will set the DRS Automation level setting for the workload cluster to Partially Automated.
- B. The solution will deploy a workload cluster consisting of five VMware vSphere hosts.
- C. The solution will set the Host failures cluster tolerates for the workload cluster to 1.
- D. The solution will deploy a workload cluster consisting of four VMware vSphere hosts.
- E. The solution will configure vSphere High Availability (HA) for the workload cluster.
- F. The solution will configure vSphere Dynamic Resource Scheduling (DRS) for the workload cluster.

Answer: BCE

Explanation:

The requirement for N+1 resiliency means the solution must tolerate the failure of one component (in this case, one ESXi host) without disrupting workloads. In VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF), this is typically achieved through vSphere High Availability (HA) settings and sufficient host capacity. The scenario provides key constraints: a 4-node cluster can handle all workloads at 90% utilization, and no growth is expected. Let's evaluate each option:

Option A: Set the DRS Automation level to Partially Automated DRS (Dynamic Resource Scheduling) balances workloads across hosts, but the automation level (Partially Automated vs. Fully Automated) doesn't directly impact N+1 resiliency. Partially Automated requires manual approval for migrations, which doesn't enhance or detract from HA-based resiliency. While DRS is useful, this specific setting isn't critical to the N+1 requirement, per the VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architectural Guide.

Option B: Deploy a workload cluster consisting of five VMware vSphere hosts A 5- node cluster provides N+1 resiliency when paired with HA configured to tolerate one host failure. If one host fails, the remaining four can handle the workload, assuming capacity planning accounts for this. The Aria Operations report indicates a 4-node cluster is sufficient at 90% utilization, but adding a fifth host ensures capacity remains after a failure (reducing utilization to ~72% across four hosts: $90\% / 1.25$). This aligns with VCF's standard architecture recommendations for resiliency (VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architectural Guide).

Option C: Set the Host failures cluster tolerates for the workload cluster to 1 This HA setting ensures the cluster reserves capacity (e.g., CPU and memory) to failover VMs from one failed host. In VCF, setting Host failures cluster tolerates to 1 is a direct implementation of N+1 resiliency, making it a required design decision (vSphere Availability Guide and VCF 5.2 Administration Guide).

Option D: Deploy a workload cluster consisting of four VMware vSphere hosts A 4- node cluster meets capacity needs at 90% utilization but lacks N+1 resiliency without additional capacity. If one host fails, the remaining three would be overcommitted (120% utilization: $90\% / 0.75$), risking performance or availability. Thus, this doesn't satisfy the requirement alone.

Option E: Configure vSphere High Availability (HA) for the workload cluster HA is foundational to N+1 resiliency in vSphere and VCF, enabling VM restarts on surviving hosts after a failure. Without HA, N+1 cannot be achieved, making this a mandatory choice (VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Administration Guide).

Option F: Configure vSphere Dynamic Resource Scheduling (DRS) for the workload cluster DRS enhances performance by balancing workloads but isn't strictly required for N+1 resiliency, which focuses on availability, not optimization. It's a best practice in VCF but not one of the three critical decisions for this requirement.

Conclusion:

B: A 5-node cluster provides the extra host for N+1.

C: HA set to tolerate 1 host failure implements N+1 policy.

E: HA configuration enables failover, a core N+1 component. Options B, C, and E together ensure the cluster can lose one host without service disruption, meeting the customer's requirement.

References:
 VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architectural Guide (docs.vmware.com): Section on Workload Domain Design and HA/DRS Configuration.

vSphere Availability Guide (docs.vmware.com): Chapter on Configuring High Availability. VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Administration Guide (docs.vmware.com): HA and Cluster Sizing Guidelines.

NEW QUESTION 24

A VMware Cloud Foundation multi-AZ (Availability Zone) design mandates that:

- All management components are centralized.
- The availability SLA must adhere to no less than 99.99%.

What would be the two design decisions that would help satisfy those requirements? (Choose two.)

- A. Choose two distant AZs and configure distinct management workload domains.
- B. Configure a stretched L2 VLAN for the infrastructure management components between the AZs.
- C. Configure a separate VLAN for the infrastructure management components within each AZ.
- D. Configure VMware Live Recovery between the selected AZs.
- E. Choose two close proximity AZs and configure a stretched management workload domain.

Answer: BE

Explanation:

Reference: VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Multi-AZ Deployment Guide, Section on Stretched Management Domains; VMware Validated Design for VCF 5.2, Availability Zone Configurations.

NEW QUESTION 25

An administrator is designing a new VMware Cloud Foundation instance that has to support management, VDI, DB, and general workloads. The DB workloads will stay the same in terms of resources over time. However, the general workloads and VDI environments are expected to grow over the next 3 years. What should the architect include in the documentation?

- A. An assumption that the DB workload resource requirements will remain static.
- B. A constraint of including the management, DB, and VDI environments.
- C. A requirement consisting of the growth of the general workloads and VDI environment.
- D. A risk that the VCF instance may not have enough capacity for growth.

Answer: A

Explanation:

In VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF) 5.2, design documentation includes assumptions, constraints, requirements, and risks to define the solution's scope and address potential challenges. The scenario provides specific information about workload types and their behavior over time, which the architect must categorize appropriately. Let's evaluate each option:

Option A: An assumption that the DB workload resource requirements will remain static. This is the correct answer. An assumption is a statement taken as true without proof, often based on customer-provided information, to guide design planning. The customer explicitly states that the DB workloads will stay the same in terms of resources over time. Documenting this as an assumption reflects this fact and allows the architect to size the VCF instance with a fixed resource allocation for DB workloads, while planning scalability for other workloads. This aligns with VMware's design methodology for capturing stable baseline conditions.

Option B: A constraint of including the management, DB, and VDI environments. This is incorrect. A constraint is a limitation or restriction imposed on the design, such as existing hardware or policies. The need to support management, VDI, DB, and general workloads is a requirement (what the solution must do), not a limitation. Labeling it a constraint misrepresents its role—it is a design goal, not a restrictive factor. Constraints might include budget or rack space, but this scenario doesn't indicate such limits.

Option C: A requirement consisting of the growth of the general workloads and VDI environment. This is a strong contender but incorrect in this context. A requirement defines what the solution must achieve, and the customer's statement that general workloads and VDI environments are expected to grow over the next 3 years could be a requirement (e.g., "The solution must support growth"). However, the question asks for a single item, and Option A better captures a foundational planning element (static DB workloads) that directly informs sizing. Growth could be a requirement, but it's less immediate than the assumption about DB stability for initial design documentation.

Option D: A risk that the VCF instance may not have enough capacity for growth. This is incorrect as the primary answer. A risk identifies potential issues that could impact success, such as insufficient capacity for growing workloads. While this is a valid concern given VDI and general workload growth, the scenario doesn't provide evidence of immediate capacity limitations—only an expectation of growth. Risks are typically documented after sizing, not as the sole initial inclusion. The assumption about DB workloads is more fundamental to start the design process.

Conclusion: The architect should include an assumption that the DB workload resource requirements will remain static (Option A). This reflects the customer's explicit statement, establishes a baseline for sizing the Management Domain and Workload Domains, and allows planning for growth elsewhere. While growth (C) and risk (D) are relevant, the assumption is the most immediate and appropriate single item for initial documentation in VCF 5.2.

References:

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Planning and Preparation Guide (Section: Design Assumptions and Requirements)

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architecture and Deployment Guide (Section: Workload Domain Sizing)

NEW QUESTION 26

An architect is designing a new VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF)-based Private Cloud solution. During the requirements gathering workshop, a stakeholder from the network team stated that:

The solution must ensure that any physical networking component is redundant to N+N. The solution must ensure inter-datacenter network links are diversely routed.

When writing the design documentation, how should the architect classify the stated requirement?

- A. Availability
- B. Performance
- C. Recoverability
- D. Manageability

Answer: A

Explanation:

In VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF) 5.2, design qualities (non-functional requirements) categorize how the system operates. The network team's requirements focus on redundancy and routing diversity, which the architect must classify. Let's evaluate: Option A: Availability

This is correct. Availability ensures the solution remains operational and accessible. N+N redundancy (e.g., dual active components where N failures are tolerated by N spares) for physical networking components eliminates single points of failure, ensuring continuous network uptime. Diversely routed inter-datacenter links prevents outages from a single path failure, enhancing availability across sites. In VCF, these align with high-availability network design (e.g., NSX Edge uplink redundancy), making availability the proper classification.

Option B: Performance

Performance addresses speed, throughput, or latency (e.g., 10 Gbps links). Redundancy and diverse routing might indirectly support performance by avoiding bottlenecks, but the primary intent is uptime, not speed. This doesn't fit the stated requirements' focus.

Option C: Recoverability

Recoverability focuses on restoring service after a failure (e.g., backups, failover time). N+N redundancy and diverse routing prevent downtime rather than recover from it. While related, the requirements emphasize proactive uptime (availability) over post-failure recovery, making this incorrect.

Option D: Manageability

Manageability concerns ease of administration (e.g., monitoring, configuration). Redundancy and routing diversity are infrastructure design choices, not management processes. This quality doesn't apply.

Conclusion: The architect should classify the requirement as Availability (A). It ensures the VCF solution's network remains operational, aligning with VCF 5.2's focus on resilient design.

References:

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Planning and Preparation Guide (Section: Design Qualities) VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architecture and Deployment Guide (Section: Network Availability)

NEW QUESTION 31

An architect is designing a VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF)-based Private Cloud solution. During the requirements gathering workshop with customer stakeholders, the following information was captured:

The solution must be capable of deploying 50 concurrent workloads.

The solution must ensure that once submitted, each service does not take longer than 6 hours to provision.

When creating the design documentation, which design quality should be used to classify the stated requirements?

- A. Availability
- B. Recoverability
- C. Performance
- D. Manageability

Answer: C

Explanation:

In VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF) 5.2, design qualities (or non-functional requirements) categorize how the solution meets its objectives. The requirements—deploying 50 concurrent workloads and provisioning each service within 6 hours—must be classified under a quality that reflects their intent. Let's evaluate each option:

Option A: Availability. Availability ensures the solution is accessible and operational when needed (e.g., uptime percentage). While deploying workloads and provisioning services assume availability, the requirements focus on speed and capacity (50 concurrent workloads, 6-hour limit), not uptime or fault tolerance. This

quality doesn't directly address the stated needs, making it incorrect.

Option B: Recoverability Recoverability addresses the ability to restore services after a failure (e.g., disaster recovery). The requirements don't mention failure scenarios, backups, or restoration—they focus on provisioning speed and concurrency during normal operation. Recoverability is unrelated to these operational metrics, so this is incorrect.

Option C: Performance This is the correct answer. Performance measures how well the solution executes tasks, including speed, throughput, and capacity. In VCF 5.2:

Deploying 50 concurrent workloads is a throughput requirement, ensuring the system can handle multiple deployments simultaneously.

Each service does not take longer than 6 hours to provision is a latency or response time requirement, setting a performance boundary. Both align with the performance quality, which governs resource efficiency and user experience in provisioning workflows (e.g., via SDDC Manager or Aria Automation). This classification fits VMware's design framework.

Option D: Manageability Manageability focuses on ease of administration, monitoring, and maintenance (e.g., automation, UI simplicity). While provisioning workloads involves management, the requirements emphasize how fast and how many—performance metrics—not the ease of managing the process. Manageability might apply to tools enabling this, but it's not the primary quality here.

Conclusion: The design quality to classify these requirements is Performance (Option C). It directly reflects the solution's ability to handle 50 concurrent workloads and provision services within 6 hours, aligning with VCF 5.2's focus on operational efficiency. References:

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Planning and Preparation Guide (Section: Design Qualities) VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architecture and Deployment Guide (Section: Performance Considerations)

NEW QUESTION 33

The following design decisions were made relating to storage design:

- A storage policy that would support failure of a single fault domain being the server rack
- Two vSAN OSA disk groups per host each consisting of four 4TB Samsung SSD capacity drives
- Two vSAN OSA disk groups per host each consisting of a single 300GB Intel NVMe cache drive
- Encryption at rest capable disk drives
- Dual 10Gb or faster storage network adapters

Which two design decisions would an architect include within the physical design? (Choose two.)

- A. A storage policy that would support failure of a single fault domain being the server rack
- B. Two vSAN OSA disk groups per host each consisting of a single 300GB Intel NVMe cache drive
- C. Encryption at rest capable disk drives
- D. Dual 10Gb or faster storage network adapters
- E. Two vSAN OSA disk groups per host each consisting of four 4TB Samsung SSD capacity drives

Answer: DE

Explanation:

Reference: VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 vSAN Design Guide, Physical Storage Design; VMware vSAN 7.0 Planning and Deployment Guide.

NEW QUESTION 38

A VMware Cloud Foundation design is focused on IaaS control plane security, where the following requirements are present:

Support for Kubernetes Network Policies. Cluster-wide network policy support. Multiple Kubernetes distribution(s) support.

What would be the design decision that meets the requirements for VMware Container Networking?

- A. NSX VPCs
- B. Antrea
- C. Harbor
- D. Velero Operators

Answer: B

Explanation:

The design focuses on IaaS control plane security for Kubernetes within VCF 5.2, requiring Kubernetes Network Policies, cluster-wide policies, and support for multiple Kubernetes distributions. VMware Container Networking integrates with vSphere with Tanzu (part of VCF's IaaS control plane). Let's evaluate:

Option A: NSX VPCs NSX VPCs (Virtual Private Clouds) provide isolated network domains in NSX-T, enhancing tenant segmentation. While NSX underpins vSphere with Tanzu networking, NSX VPCs are an advanced feature for workload isolation, not a direct implementation of Kubernetes Network Policies or cluster-wide policies. The VCF 5.2 Networking Guide positions NSX VPCs as optional, not required for core Kubernetes networking.

Option B: Antrea Antrea is an open-source container network interface (CNI) plugin integrated with vSphere with Tanzu in VCF 5.2. It supports Kubernetes Network Policies (e.g., pod-to-pod rules), cluster-wide policies via Antrea-specific CRDs (Custom Resource Definitions), and multiple Kubernetes distributions (e.g., TKG clusters). The VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architectural Guide notes Antrea as an alternative CNI to NSX, enabled when NSX isn't used for Kubernetes networking, meeting all requirements with native Kubernetes compatibility and security features.

Option C: Harbor Harbor is a container registry for storing and securing images, not a networking solution. The VCF 5.2 Administration Guide confirms Harbor's role in image management, not network policy enforcement, making it irrelevant here.

Option D: Velero Operators Velero is a backup and recovery tool for Kubernetes clusters, not a networking component. The VCF 5.2 Architectural Guide lists Velero for disaster recovery, not security or network policies, ruling it out.

Conclusion: Antrea (B) meets all requirements by providing Kubernetes Network Policies, cluster-wide policy support, and compatibility with multiple Kubernetes distributions, aligning with VCF 5.2's container networking options. References:

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Architectural Guide (docs.vmware.com): Container Networking with Antrea.

VMware Cloud Foundation 5.2 Networking Guide (docs.vmware.com): NSX and Antrea in vSphere with Tanzu.

vSphere with Tanzu Configuration Guide (docs.vmware.com): CNI Options.

NEW QUESTION 39

.....

Thank You for Trying Our Product

We offer two products:

1st - We have Practice Tests Software with Actual Exam Questions

2nd - Questions and Answers in PDF Format

2V0-13.24 Practice Exam Features:

- * 2V0-13.24 Questions and Answers Updated Frequently
- * 2V0-13.24 Practice Questions Verified by Expert Senior Certified Staff
- * 2V0-13.24 Most Realistic Questions that Guarantee you a Pass on Your FirstTry
- * 2V0-13.24 Practice Test Questions in Multiple Choice Formats and Updatesfor 1 Year

100% Actual & Verified — Instant Download, Please Click
[Order The 2V0-13.24 Practice Test Here](#)